

Categoría: Decisiones basadas en la evidencia

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Emergency Delirium Prevention with Dexmedetomidine in Pediatrics

Prevención de Delirium de Emergencia con Dexmedetomidina en Pediátricos

Gabrielly Araujo Barreto¹ 💿 🖂 , Javier González-Argote² 💿 🖂

¹Universidad Abierta Interamericana. Facultad de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina. ²Fundación Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Citar como: Araujo Barreto G, González-Argote J. Prevención de Delirium de Emergencia con Dexmedetomidina en Pediátricos. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología - Serie de Conferencias 2023; 2:320. https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf2023320

Recibido: 23-05-2023	Revisado: 30-07-2023	Aceptado: 17-09-2023	Publicado: 18-09-2023
		Acceptudo: 17 07 2025	1 451164461 10 07 2025

ABSTRACT

Introduction: fecal Matter Transplantation is a method based on the administration of a processed and prepared fecal suspension from a healthy individual to another patient with the aim of restoring intestinal microbiota balance by manipulating the microbiota to the carrier of the specific disease with the goal of achieving its resolution.

Objectives: to describe the scientific evidence on fecal microbiota transplantation strategies to restore intestinal balance and reduce Clostridium difficile infections.

Material and methods: a Systematic Review of the literature was carried out, which will be governed according to PRISMA guidelines. The units of analysis will be abstracts and full text of articles with randomized clinical trial design or prospective or retrospective cohort, published in Scopus, Web of Science and Pubmed, without temporal restriction.

Results: the systematic review indicates that dexmedetomidine shows promise in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium, emergency delirium, and pain in various surgical populations. These findings have significant clinical implications, especially for elderly patients and children undergoing specific procedures. Dexmedetomidine's safety profile was generally acceptable, with no major adverse events reported.

Conclutions: while the systematic review suggests that dexmedetomidine may offer benefits in preventing postoperative delirium and improving perioperative outcomes, further research is needed to establish optimal dosing, refine assessment methods, and explore its long-term effects. Dexmedetomidine holds promise as a valuable tool in pediatric and geriatric surgical settings, with the potential to enhance patient care and recovery.

Keywords: Microbiota Fecal; Trasplante De Microbiota Fecal; Clostridioides Difficile; Revisión Sistemática.

RESUMEN

Introducción: la prevención del delirium de emergencia con dexmedetomidina en pacientes pediátricos es un tema de creciente interés en la práctica médica y la investigación clínica. El delirium de emergencia, también conocido como síndrome de delirium en la unidad de cuidados intensivos pediátricos (UCIP), es un trastorno neuropsiquiátrico grave que afecta a niños y adolescentes críticamente enfermos.

Objetivos: analizar de manera exhaustiva la literatura científica disponible con el propósito de evaluar la efectividad y seguridad de la dexmedetomidina como agente farmacológico en la prevención del delirium de emergencia en pacientes pediátricos.

Material y métodos: se realizará una Revisión Sistemática de la literatura, que se regirá de acuerdo con las directrices PRISMA. Las unidades de análisis serán los resúmenes y texto completo de artículos

© Autor(es); 2023. Este es un artículo en acceso abierto, distribuido bajo los términos de una licencia *Creative Commons* (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) que permite el uso, distribución y reproducción en cualquier medio siempre que la obra original sea correctamente citada

con diseño de ensayos clínicos aleatorizado o cohorte prospectiva o retrospectiva, publicados en Scopus, Web of Science y Pubmed, sin restricción temporal.

Resultados: la revisión sistemática indica que la dexmedetomidina resulta prometedora para reducir la incidencia de delirio postoperatorio, delirio de urgencia y dolor en diversas poblaciones quirúrgicas. Estos hallazgos tienen implicaciones clínicas significativas, especialmente para pacientes ancianos y niños sometidos a procedimientos específicos. El perfil de seguridad de la dexmedetomidina fue generalmente aceptable, sin que se notificaran acontecimientos adversos importantes.

Conclusiones: si bien la revisión sistemática sugiere que la dexmedetomidina puede ofrecer beneficios en la prevención del delirio postoperatorio y mejorar los resultados perioperatorios, se necesitan investigaciones adicionales para establecer la dosis óptima, refinar los métodos de evaluación y explorar sus efectos a largo plazo. La dexmedetomidina promete ser una herramienta valiosa en entornos quirúrgicos pediátricos y geriátricos, con el potencial de mejorar la atención y la recuperación de los pacientes.

Palabras clave: Delirio; Urgencias; Dexmedetomidina; Pediatría.

INTRODUCTION

The prevention of emergency delirium with dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients is a topic of growing interest in medical practice and clinical research. Emergency delirium, also known as pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) delirium syndrome, is a severe neuropsychiatric disorder affecting critically ill children and adolescents. This syndrome is characterized by acute altered mental status, including confusion, agitation, hallucinations, and disorientation, and may be associated with significant complications, such as a longer PICU stay, increased health care costs, and an elevated risk of morbidity and mortality. Dexmedetomidine, a selective alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist, has emerged as a promising pharmacological agent in the prevention and treatment of emergence delirium in critically ill pediatric patients. This introduction aims to explore the rationale, clinical relevance, and implications of emergency delirium prevention with dexmedetomidine in the pediatric setting, providing a comprehensive overview of this critical and evolving topic.

Fundamentals of Pediatric Emergency Delirium:

Emergency delirium in pediatric patients is a complex, multifactorial phenomenon that occurs most frequently in the PICU. It affects children and adolescents who are in critical health states due to various medical conditions, such as severe trauma, complex surgeries, sepsis, acute neurological illnesses and other serious medical conditions. Although less common compared to adults, pediatric delirium is a relevant clinical entity that can have significant consequences for the patient and the health care team.

Characteristic symptoms of delirium in pediatric patients include acute changes in mental status, such as alterations in consciousness, difficulty maintaining attention, fluctuations in alertness, psychomotor agitation, visual or auditory hallucinations, disorientation in time and space, and disorganized thinking. These symptoms can be disturbing to both the patient and caregivers, and often make communication and appropriate medical care difficult.

At the pathophysiologic level, pediatric delirium has been associated with a systemic inflammatory response, neurochemical imbalances, and brain dysfunction. Changes in brain function, including decreased cerebral blood flow and altered neural networks, contribute to the symptoms of delirium. In addition, increased release of proinflammatory cytokines has been observed in pediatric patients with delirium, suggesting a link between the inflammatory response and the pathogenesis of delirium.

Clinical Relevance of Pediatric Emergency Delirium:

The clinical relevance of emergence delirium in pediatric patients is undeniable. This syndrome is associated with a number of adverse complications that can adversely affect the prognosis and quality of life of patients. Some of the most prominent clinical implications include:

1. prolonged PICU stay: pediatric patients with emergence delirium tend to have longer PICU stays compared to those who do not develop this syndrome. This not only increases the emotional

and financial burden for families, but may also expose patients to an increased risk of nosocomial complications.

Increased risk of morbidity and mortality: Pediatric delirium has been associated with an increased risk of medical complications, such as respiratory failure, secondary infections and multiple organ dysfunction. In some cases, delirium may contribute to significant worsening of health status and increased mortality.

3. Neurodevelopmental disturbances: Children and adolescents who experience delirium in the PICU may be at risk for long-term neurodevelopmental effects. Studies have shown that pediatric delirium is associated with an increased risk of cognitive and functional disabilities later in life.

4. Attention and communication difficulties: Symptoms of delirium, such as agitation and disorientation, can hinder medical care and effective communication with the patient, which in turn can delay diagnosis and treatment of other medical conditions.

5. Impact on caregivers' quality of life: Pediatric delirium not only affects the patient, but can also have a significant emotional and psychological impact on caregivers, who often experience high levels of stress and anxiety.

Given the clinical relevance of emergence delirium in pediatric patients, there is growing interest in developing effective prevention and treatment strategies to address this syndrome and its adverse implications.

Implications of Dexmedetomidine in the Prevention of Pediatric Delirium:

Dexmedetomidine is a drug that has shown promise in the prevention and treatment of delirium in critically ill pediatric patients. It is classified as a selective alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist and has sedative, anxiolytic and analgesic properties. Although initially used as an anesthetic and analgesic agent in adults, its use in pediatrics has increased in recent decades due to its safety profile and potential benefits in the prevention of delirium.

Dexmedetomidine exerts its main effect by activating alpha-2 adrenergic receptors in the central nervous system, leading to an inhibition of noradrenaline release. This results in a decrease in sympathetic activity, a reduction in the release of proinflammatory cytokines and a decrease in oxidative stress, which may be beneficial in critically ill pediatric patients.

Clinical studies and experimental research have provided evidence supporting the use of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of delirium in pediatric patients. Dexmedetomidine has been observed to reduce the incidence of delirium in the PICU and improve sleep quality in these patients. In addition, it has been associated with a decreased need for sedatives and opioid analgesics, which may have a positive impact on the avoidance of complications and undesirable side effects.

One of the highlights of dexmedetomidine is its ability to provide sedation and analgesia without significantly suppressing respiratory function, making it an attractive option in the management of pediatric patients in the PICU. Its safety profile and the possibility of rapid reversal with the antagonist agent flumazenil if needed have contributed to its adoption in pediatric clinical settings.

Objective: to comprehensively analyze the available scientific literature in order to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of dexmedetomidine as a pharmacological agent in the prevention of emergency delirium in pediatric patients.

METHODS

Study Design: A Systematic Review of the literature will be conducted, which will be governed according to the PRISMA guidelines (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses). Inclusion Criteria: randomized clinical trials and prospective or retrospective cohort studies.

Exclusion Criteria: Review Articles, Scientific Letters/Letters to the Editor, Case Reports, Editorials, Original Articles corresponding to Observational Studies.

Selection and Sample Size: the units of analysis will be the abstracts and full text of articles with randomized clinical trial design or prospective or retrospective cohort, published in Scopus, Web of Science and Pubmed, without time restriction.

Ethical and legal considerations: this study included secondary data sources and therefore does not correspond to an analysis from the ethical point of view, given that no experimentation or evaluations were performed on human beings/experimental animals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the systematic review titled "Prevention of Emergency Delirium with Dexmedetomidine in Pediatrics" provide valuable insights into the use of dexmedetomidine in various pediatric and geriatric surgical settings. In this discussion, we will compare these findings with those of other studies, identify methodological errors and limitations, draw certain conclusions, and discuss the implications for future research.

Several studies included in this systematic review have demonstrated the potential benefits of dexmedetomidine in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium (PD) in different patient populations. For instance, the study involving elderly patients undergoing major cardiac or non-cardiac surgery found a significant reduction in the incidence of PD (43,8 % vs. 17,9 %) with dexmedetomidine compared to the control group. This aligns with the findings in the study on pediatric tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, which reported a lower incidence of emergency delirium (ED) in the dexmedetomidine group (31,1 % vs. 53,3 %).

However, there are also studies, like the one involving children undergoing outpatient procedures, that did not find a significant reduction in negative behavior on the third postoperative day with dexmedetomidine premedication. These variations in outcomes highlight the importance of patient demographics, surgical procedures, and dosing regimens in determining the efficacy of dexmedetomidine.

While the systematic review provides valuable insights, it is crucial to acknowledge certain methodological limitations. Some studies had relatively small sample sizes, which might limit the generalizability of their findings. Additionally, the assessment of delirium, pain, and other outcomes might have been influenced by subjective measures, potentially introducing bias. The absence of standardized definitions for delirium severity and the reliance on clinical history to assess obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are notable limitations.

To further advance our understanding of dexmedetomidine's role in preventing delirium and improving perioperative outcomes, future research should focus on addressing the following areas:

- 1. Dosing Optimization: Investigate the optimal dosing regimens for different patient populations and surgical procedures to maximize the benefits while minimizing potential side effects.
- 2. Objective Delirium Assessment: Implement objective measures for delirium assessment, such as validated delirium scales, to reduce subjectivity and improve accuracy.
- 3. Long-term Effects: Examine the long-term effects of dexmedetomidine administration on cognitive function, as some studies in this review did not find differences in postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD).
- 4. Safety and Adverse Events: Conduct larger-scale studies to assess the safety profile of dexmedetomidine, especially in the context of major surgeries and prolonged use.
- 5. Standardization: Standardize the definitions and criteria for assessing outcomes like delirium severity and OSA, to enhance the comparability of results across studies.

The systematic review indicates that dexmedetomidine shows promise in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium, emergency delirium, and pain in various surgical populations. These findings have significant clinical implications, especially for elderly patients and children undergoing specific procedures. Dexmedetomidine's safety profile was generally acceptable, with no major adverse events reported.

Study	Country	Aim	Intervention	Type of research	Sample	Main results	Clinical/practical implications
Postoperative Delirium after Dexmedetomidine versus Propofol Sedation in Healthy Older Adults Undergoing Orthopedic Lower Limb Surgery with Spinal Anesthesia: A Randomized Controlled Trial (Shin)	South Korea	Delirium is a critical postoperative complication in older. patients. Based on the hypothesis that intraoperative dexmedetomidine sedation would lower postoperative delirium than propofol sedation would, the authors compared the incidence of postoperative delirium in older adults, using the mentioned sedatives.	- <u>Control Group</u> : Propofol infused continuously through a device, adjusting the concentration at the site of effect between 1,0 and 2,0 μg/ml. - <u>Experimental Group</u> : Dexmedetomidine received a loading dose of 1 μg/kg for more than 10 minutes, followed by continuous administration of 0,1 to 0,5 μg - kg-1 - h-1.	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	 -<u>Control Group</u>: 366 initial patients and 344 final patients. -<u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u>: 366 initial patients and 342 final patients. Patients 65 years of age or older in orthopedic surgeries. 	The study included 748 patients aged 65 and over who had undergone elective lower extremity orthopedic surgery. They were randomized into two groups, with 374 patients in each group. After excluding some patients, 732 patients were included in the intention-to-treat analysis and 683 patients were included in the per-protocol analysis. The primary outcome measure was the incidence of postoperative delirium, which was assessed using the confounding assessment method. The incidence of postoperative delirium was compared between the dexmedetomidine and propofol groups. The incidence of postoperative delirium was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group than in the propofol group (3,0 % vs. 6,6 %; odds ratio, 0,42; 95 % CI, 0,201 to 0,86; P = 0,036).	The study suggests that the use of dexmedetomidine sedation during lower limb orthopedic surgery in older adults may reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium compared to propofol sedation. The study also found that MAP was higher in the dexmedetomidine group during sedation, but significantly lower in the PACU, requiring a greater amount of phenylephrine than the propofol group. HR was lower in the dexmedetomidine group, both during sedation and in the PACU. This finding has practical implications for physicians and anesthesiologists involved in the perioperative treatment of elderly patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgery. Implementing dexmedetomidine sedation as a strategy during surgery may help reduce the risk of

								Hemodynamic		postopera	tive delirium
								variables, ir	ncluding	in this pop	ulation.
								mean arterial p	pressure	Doctors	should
								(MAP) and hea	art rate	carefully of	consider the
								(HR), were asse	essed as	choice o	f sedative,
								secondary out	tcomes.	taking in	to account
								MAP and HR	were	the possil	ole benefits
								measured	before	of dexm	edetomidine
								sedation,	during	in prevent	ing delirium
								sedation and	in the	in the elde	erly.
								post-anesthetic	care	Further re	esearch and
								unit (PACU).		clinical tr	ials may be
								Mean arterial p	pressure	needed	to validate
								(MAP) was highe	er in the	these fi	ndings and
								dexmedetomidi	ne	explore t	he optimal
								group during se	edation,	dosage	and
								but significantly	y lower	administra	ition
								in the PACU, re	equiring	protocols	for
								a greater amo	ount of	dexmedet	omidine
								phenylephrine t	han the	sedation	in this
								propofol	group.	context.	
								Meanwhile, hea	art rate	Overall,	this study
								(HR) was lower	r in the	provides	valuable
								dexmedetomidi	ne	informatio	n on the
								group, both	during	possible	benefits of
								sedation and	in the	dexmedet	omidine
								PACU.		sedation	in reducing
										postopera	tive delirium
										in the	elderly
										undergoin	g orthopedic
										surgery,	highlighting
										the imp	ortance of
										considerin	g sedative
										options	in
										perioperat	ive care.
Dexmedetomidine	China	Emergence	delirium	- <u>Control</u>	Group:	Randomized	- <u>Control Group</u> : 48	The study incl	uded a	The admir	nistration of
for the prevention of		(ED) is a	common	Same volu	me of	Double	patients at the	total of 90 p	atients,	dexmedet	omidine can
emergence delirium		neurologic		Saline Soluti	ion	Blind	beginning and 45 at	with 48 patie	ents in	be con	sidered a
and postoperative		complication	that can			Clinical	the end.	each group.		rational a	ind feasible
behavioral changes		not only		- Exper	imental	Trial	_	The administra	ition of	approach	to reduce
in pediatric patients		distress child	aren and	Group:			- <u>Experimental</u>	dexmedetomidi	ne	the inc	idence of
with sevoflurane		their familie	s in the	Dexmedetor	nidine		Group: 48 patients	significantly r	reduced	emergence	e delirium
anesthesia: a		early posta	nesthetic	loading dos	e of 1			the incidence	e of	(ED) in	pediatric

double-blind, p randomized trial h (Shi) e t	period but can also have adverse. effects on children in the long-term. This	µg/kg over 10 minutes, followed by a maintenance dose of 0,5 µg/kg/h	at the beginning and 45 at the end. Patients aged 2-7	emergency delirium (ED) compared to the control group (31,1 % vs 53,3 %; P=0,033). The	patients undergoing tonsillectomy with sevoflurane anesthesia.
s i	study aimed to investigate the effects of single dose.	until the end of surgery.	years in undergoing tonsillectomy	incidence of severe ED was also significantly lower in the	be used to prevent postoperative
C	dexmedetomidine on ED in children with			dexmedetomidine group.	negative behavioral changes (NPOBCs) in
2 5	sevoflurane anesthesia and to			Dexmedetomidine prolonged extubation	pediatric patients after sevoflurane
c t	observe postoperative behavioral changes			time (P<0,001). There were no	anesthesia. The use of
t f	through long-term follow-up.			significant differences in the length of stay in	dexmedetomidine can result in a decrease in
				the post-anesthetic care unit (PACU) after	the incidence of pain in pediatric patients
				extubation and in the percentage of adverse	after tonsillectomy. However, it should be
				events between the two groups.	administration of
				reduced the incidence	may prolong
				the control group (28,9	The study did not
				The incidence of	baseline temperament
				behavioral changes (NPOBCs) was	assessment tool,
				significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine	suggested as an important contributor
				group at one and seven days after discharge	to ED and NPOBCs. In summary, the study
				(33,3 % vs 60,0 %; P = 0,011 and 24,4 % vs	suggests that dexmedetomidine
				46,7 %; P = 0,028, respectively).	may be a useful intervention to reduce
				However, there was no significant difference	the incidence of ED, pain and NPOBCs in
				in NPOBCs between the two groups on day 30.	pediatric patients undergoing

Effect of Intranasal China Dexmedetomidine or Midazolam for Premedication on the Occurrence of Respiratory Adverse Events in Children Undergoing Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy (Shen)	 <u>-Control Group</u>: 0,9 % Intranasal Saline Solution <u>-Experimental</u> <u>Group 1</u>: Intranasal Midazolam 0,1 mg/kg <u>-Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u>: Intranasal Dexmedetomidine 2,0 μg/kg 	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 125 patients - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1</u> : 124 patients - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : 124 patients. Patients from 0 to 12 years old submitted to elective tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy.	The study investigated the effect of intranasal dexmedetomidine, and midazolam used as premedication on the appearance of perioperative respiratory adverse events (PRAE) in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Dexmedetomidine facilitated endotracheal tube tolerance and significantly reduced the incidence of oxygen desaturation and coughing at the time of extubation, without affecting time.	sevoflurane anesthesia. However, the prolonged extubation time and the lack of significant difference in the number of patients requiring additional treatment with fentanyl should be taken into account when planning surgery. Further studies are needed to confirm the results and assess the initial temperament of the children using a validated assessment tool The use of intranasal dexmedetomidine or midazolam as premedication in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy can potentially reduce the occurrence of perioperative respiratory adverse events (PRAEs). In addition, dexmedetomidine can facilitate endotracheal tube tolerance and reduce coughing during extubation, leading to a smoother extubation process. The administration of dexmedetomidine
---	---	--	--	---	--

9 Araujo Barreto et al.

The use of	may help suppress
dexmedetomidine can	airway reflexes and
reduce airway reflexes	prevent a marked
and suppress a sudden	increase in heart rate
increase in heart rate	during extubation,
during extubation,	possibly due to its
possibly due to a	effect in reducing
decrease in	sympathetic activity.
sympathetic activity.	The study highlights
The severity of	the importance of
obstructive sleep	considering individual
appea (OSA) was not	differences in children
classified in the study.	and the possible
and $OS\Delta$ status was	influence of parents'
assessed based on	level of education on
clinical history rather	the occurrence of
than polysompography	PRAFs It also provides
There was no	high-quality evidence
significant difference	to guide the choice of
in the incidence of	preoperative
delirium on	sodativos for childron
	undergoing
postoperative	tonsilloctomy
	adenoidectomy
score sedation success	highlighting the
rate and heart rate	importance of
values between the	considering the
three groups	incidence of DBAEs
Binary Logistic	when selecting
Dilialy logistic	when selecting
regression was used to	preoperative
aujust for comounding	securities.
Tactors such as physical	it suggests that
status, DOUY Mass	physicians should be
index, upper	cautious when using
respiratory tract	intranasal midazolam
infection, passive	as a premedication in
smoking and USA.	children undergoing
In summary, the study	tonsillectomy and
suggests that	adenoidectomy, as it
intranasal	may increase the
dexmedetomidine may	incidence of PRAEs.
be a better option than	In summary, the study
intranasal midazolam	provides valuable

					for premedication in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy to reduce the incidence of PRAEs.	information on the use of preoperative sedatives for children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. The results suggest that intranasal dexmedetomidine may be a safer and more effective option than intranasal midazolam for reducing the incidence of PRAEs. Clinicians should consider using intranasal dexmedetomidine for sedation in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy when
The effect of peri- Germany operative dexmedetomidine on the incidence of postoperative delirium in cardiac and non-cardiac surgical patients: a randomized, double- blind placebo- controlled trial (Norden)	The objective of the study was to investigate the effect of peri-operative administration of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of postoperative delirium in non-cardiac and cardiac surgical patients aged ≥ 60 y.	- <u>Control Group</u> : Placebo - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : Dexmedetomidine ranged from 0,5 µg.kg-1.h-1 to 0,7 µg.kg-1.h-1, and a loading dose of between 0,6 and 1,0 µg.kg-1 was used in some studies	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 32 patients - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 28 patients Patients aged ≥ 60 years undergoing cardiac or non- cardiac surgery.	The study found that perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine was associated with a reduced incidence of postoperative delirium in the first 5 postoperative days in non-cardiac and cardiac surgical patients aged 60 and over undergoing major surgery (43,8 % vs. 17,9 %, p = 0,038). The severity of delirium, as measured by the Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist, was	Clinically appropriate. The perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine can be considered a possible strategy to reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium in patients aged ≥ 60 years undergoing major cardiac or non-cardiac surgery. It also reduces anxiety levels on the day of surgery. Dexmedetomidine can help improve patient outcomes by reducing postoperative mortality and the main complications

comparable in the two	associated with
groups (mean	delirium.
maximum score of 1,54	The use of
vs. 1,68, p = 0,767).	dexmedetomidine in
There was no	the perioperative
difference in the	period may be a
incidence of	promising and safe
postoperative	approach to
cognitive dysfunction	effectively reduce
(POCD) between the	postoperative delirium
two groups. In	in carefully selected
addition, the incidence	high-risk patients.
of POCD was not	Future studies with
influenced by gender,	larger sample sizes
ASA physical status,	and long-term
occurrence of	outcomes are needed
postoperative delirium	to further validate the
or other perioperative	efficacy and safety of
precipitating factors,	dexmedetomidine in
such as education and	reducing
MMSE score.	postoperative
Anxiety reported on	delirium, since
the first day after	postoperative delirium
surgery was	is a common and
significantly lower in	serious complication
the dexmedetomidine	of surgery,
group compared to	particularly in elderly
placebo	patients, and can lead
During the last hours of	to increased
surgery, heart rate was	morbidity, mortality
lower in the	and healthcare costs
dexmedetomidine	Physicians and
group compared to	healthcare providers
placebo, and	should consider
intraoperative heart	incorporating
rate was less variable	dexmedetomidine into
in the	their perioperative
dexmedetomidine	management
group during the course	strategies for elderly
of surgery	patients undergoing
No patients in the	major surgery to
dexmedetomidine	potentially reduce the
group died, while five	incidence of

					patients (15,6 %) in the placebo group died ($p = 0,029$), between a 90- day postoperative evaluation period. The authors concluded that perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine is associated with a lower incidence of postoperative delirium in patients aged ≥ 60 years undergoing major cardiac or non-cardiac surgery. Overall, the study concluded that perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine is safe for use in non- cardiac and cardiac surgical patients aged 60 and over undergoing major surgery and significantly reduces	postoperative delirium and improve patient outcomes, as it has been shown to be an effective strategy.
					the incidence of	
Comparison of China Intranasal Dexmedetomidine and Oral Midazolam for Premedication in Pediatric Dental Patients under General Anesthesia: A Randomised Clinical Trial (Wang)	The aim of the study was to compare the effects of preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam on preoperative sedation and postoperative agitation in pediatric dental patients undergoing general anesthesia. The study also aimed to evaluate	- <u>Control Group</u> : 0,5 mg/kg oral midazolam. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 2 μg/kg preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine.	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 30 patients - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 30 patients Patients aged 3 to 6 undergoing dental treatment under general anesthesia	The study, carried out with 60 patients divided into two equal groups, found that both intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam provided satisfactory sedation in pediatric patients aged 3-6 undergoing dental treatment under general anesthesia.	Both intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam can be used for premedication in pediatric dental patients under general anesthesia, providing satisfactory sedation. Dexmedetomidine may be preferred over midazolam due to its lower incidence of postoperative

the safety and efficacy	There was no agitation and pediatric
of both drugs in the	significant difference emergency delirium.
pediatric population.	between the two The study highlights
	groups in terms of the importance of
	parental separation considering the route
	anxiety and mask of administration and
	acceptance. However, bioavailability of drugs
	the incidence of when selecting
	emergent pediatric premedication options
	postoperative delirium for pediatric patients.
	was significantly lower Pediatric dentists and
	in the anesthesiologists may
	dexmedetomidine consider the use of
	group compared to the intranasal
	addition the incidence on alternative to eral
	of agitation was higher midazolam especially
	in the midazolam group in reducing
	compared to the postoperative
	dexmedetomidine agitation and
	group. emergency delirium.
	The study also However, the study
	mentioned that the recommends further
	intranasal studies with large
	bioavailability of samples to determine
	dexmedetomidine is 65 the optimal doses of
	% and the oral dexmedetomidine and
	bioavailability is assess its safety and
	approximately 16 %. efficacy for the
	The children's Ramsay pediatric population.
	sedation scores and The results of this
	hemodynamic study can guide
	parameters were clinical practice in
	observed and recorded improving
	druge and after the preoperative sedation
	administered automos in podiatric
	The demographic dental patients
	variables of the undergoing general
	natients, such as age anesthesia
	weight gender
	composition, duration
	of surgery and duration

						of anesthesia, showed	
						no significant	
						difference between	
						the two groups	
						In summary the study	
						found that intranasal	
						dexmedetomidine and	
						oral midazolam were	
						effective in providing	
						satisfactory sedation	
						for children undergoing	
						dental treatment	
						under general	
						anosthosia However	
						the incidence of post-	
						operative agitation was	
						significantly lower in	
						the devmedetomidine	
						group than in the	
						midazolam group. The	
						study recommended	
						further studies with	
						large samples to	
						determine the optimal	
						deserved and the optimat	
						dosmodotomidino and	
						dexinedetoinidine and	
						assess its safety and	
						podiatric population	
The offect of Australia	The objective of the	Control Group: A	Pandomizod	Control	Group: 84	The study analyzed	Dovmodotomidino
devendet om deve Devendet om devendet om deve	study was to	nasal spray of the	Doublo	- <u>Controt</u>	<u>Group</u> . 84	data from 247 childron	doos not roduce the
postoporativo	determine whether	some volume of	Blind	patients		and two to soven who	incidence of positive
behaviour change in	devendetomidine	saline volume or	Clinical	Exporim	ontal	aged two to seven who	hobayior on the third
childron:	reduces the incidence	satine	Trial	Group	1. 97	surgeries The study	postoporativo dav in
randomisod	of possitive behavior	Exporimontal	mat	notionts	<u> </u>	used three groups of	childron aged two to
controlled trial (Loo	change after	Group 1:		patients		childron:	containen aged two to
Archor)	and an	(Promodication) 2		Exporim	ontal	promodication group	day procedures
Archer)	aneschesia in children	(Fremedication) 2		Group	2. 81	that received 2 up kg 1	However
	vears undergoing day	Devmedetomidine		nationts	<u></u> . 01	of intranacal	intraoperative
	case surgery	Dexinedetoinidine		patients		devmedetomidine an	administration of
	cuse surgery	-Experimental		Patients	aged two	intraoperative group	devmedetomidine can
		Group 2. (Intra-		to seven	vears who	that received 1 ug kg-1	lead to a lower
		Operative) 1 ug kg-		were	Indergoing	of intravenous	incidence of negative
	years undergoing day case surgery	- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : (Intra- Operative) 1 ug kg-		patients Patients to seven	aged two years who	of intranasal dexmedetomidine, an intraoperative group that received 1 µg.kg-1 of intravenous	intraoperative administration of dexmedetomidine can lead to a lower incidence of negative

1 Intravenous	dav o	ase dexmedetomidine and bel	havior on
Dexmedetomidine	procedures.	a control group that pos	ostoperative day 28
	P	received nasal spray of cor	ompared to
		the same volume of pre-	emedication or the
		saline solution abs	osence of
		prepared by an dep	exmedetomidine.
		intensive care nurse fro	om 44 % on day 3 to
		that appeared identical 15	% on day 28.
		to the study drug. Dex	exmedetomidine was
		The primary outcome, sho	own to have an
		the incidence of ana	algesic effect, as
		negative behavior on the	e incidence of pain
		postoperative day 3, in t	the recovery period
		was similar between wa	as lower in the
		the three groups dex	exmedetomidine
		(dexmedetomidine gro	oups compared to
		premedication group, the	e control group. In
		dexmedetomidine add	Idition, the children
		intraoperative group wh	no received
		and control group). dev	exmedetomidine
		However, on spe	ent an average of 11
		postoperative day 28, mi	inutes longer in
		the intraoperative rec	covery and 33
		dexmedetomidine mil	inutes longer in
		group had a hos	ispitat.
		incidence of positive sig	anificant difforences
		behavior compared to in	anyiety levels or
		the other two groups par	anxiety levels of
		Thus there was a bet	tween the three
		significant reduction in gro	OUDS.
		the incidence of The	ne study suggests
		negative behavior in that	at current
		the intraoperative and	esthesia practice
		dexmedetomidine for	r healthy children
		group from 44 % on day und	ndergoing one-day
		3 to 15 % on day 28. pro	ocedures does not
		There were no nee	ed to be changed.
		significant differences But	ut it also suggests
		between the groups in that	at there may be a
		terms of anxiety levels. ber	enefit to using
		The incidence of dex	exmedetomidine in
		reported pain in lon	nger, more painful

.

					recovery was lower in	procedures or in
					the dexmedetomidine	children with well-
					groups compared to	documented anxiety
					the control group.	or behavioral
					There were no	problems. This offers
					significant differences	an opportunity for
					in terms of parental	future research
					satisfaction between	
					the three groups.	
					In conclusion, the study	
					found that	
					dexmedetomidine does	
					not reduce the	
					incidence of negative	
					behavior on the third	
					postoperative day in	
					children aged two to	
					seven undergoing	
					outpatient procedures.	
					However, there was a	
					significant reduction in	
					the incidence of	
					negative behavior in	
					the intraoperative	
					dexmedetomidine	
					group from 44 % on day	
					3 to 15 % on day 28.	
					Dexmedetomidine used	
					as premedication and	
					as an intraoperative iv	
					bolus appears to be	
					safeDexmedetomidine	
					used as premedication	
					and as an	
					intraoperative iv bolus	
					appears to be safe.	
Comparison of the China	The objective of the	-Control Group	Randomized	-Control Group	The study included 90	Continuous
Effects of	study was to compare	(Group C):	Double	(Group C): 30	patients who were	intraoperative
Dexmedetomidine	the effects of	0,2mL·kg-1·h-1	Blind	patients	randomly divided into	intravenous infusion of
and	dexmedetomidine and	saline was infused	Clinical		three groups: the	lidocaine or
Lidocaine on Stress	lidocaine on the stress	intravenously.	Trial	- Experimental	control group (group	dexmedetomidine can
Response and	response and			Group 1 (Group L):	C), the lidocaine group	reduce surgical stress
Postoperative	postoperative delirium			30 patients	(group L) and the	and inflammatory

Delirium of Older	(POD) in older patients	- <u>Experimental</u> Group 1 (Group L):	- Experimental	dexmedetomidine	responses in elderly
Thoracoscopic	thoracosconic surgery	$\frac{10}{10} \text{ mg} \text{kg} = 1 \cdot \text{h} = 1$	Group 2 (Group D):	Continuous intravenous	thoracoscopic surgery
Surgery: A	The study aimed to	lidocaine was	29 patients	infusion of lidocaine or	This suggests that
Randomized	investigate the impact	infused		dexmedetomidine	these drugs can be
Controlled	of these drugs on	intravenously.	Patients aged >65	intraoperatively	used to manage the
Trial (Lai)	inflammatory factors	- <u>Experimental</u>	years undergoing	reduced surgical stress	physiological response
	and cognitive function	Group 2 (Group D):	elective	and inflammatory	to surgery in this
	in the patients	1,0 µg·kg-1·h-1	thoracoscopic	responses.	population.
		dexmedetomidine	lobectomy or	Cortisol concentrations	Lidocaine has a
		was infused	segmentectomy	decreased in all three	longer-lasting
		intravenously at the induction of		groups at 11 compared	inhibitory effect on
		anesthesia for 10		significantly at T2	compared to
		min, followed by		Group L had	dexmedetomidine.
		continuous infusion		significantly lower	lasting up to 24 hours
		at a rate of 0,5		cortisol concentrations	postoperatively. This
		µg∙kg-1∙h-1.		than group D at T1 and	indicates that
				т2.	lidocaine may be a
				Interleukin-6 (IL-6)	more effective option
				concentrations were	for controlling stress
				significantly nigner in	in the immediate
				T2 and T3 compared to	Devendetomidine is
				T0. Groups D and L had	an a2-adrenergic
				significantly lower IL-6	receptor agonist with
				concentrations than	sedative, analgesic,
				group C at T1 and T2.	sympatholytic and
				Group L had	hemodynamic
				significantly lower IL-6	stabilizing properties,
				concentrations than	and recent studies
				group D at 12.	have shown that
				Tumor necrosis factor-	doversion of
				concentrations were	evert anti-
				significantly higher for	inflammatory effects
				all three groups at T1.	However, its ability to
				T2 and T3 compared to	reduce post-operative
				T0. Groups D and L had	delirium has not been
				significantly lower	established.
				TNF-α concentrations	However, neither the
				than group C at T1 and	administration of
				12. Group D had	lidocaine nor

significantly higher TNF- α concentrations than group L at T1. There were no statistically significant differences in the incidence of postoperative delirium (POD) between the three groups on days 2 and 7. Group L had lower intraoperative sufentanil use compared to groups C and D. Group L also had a lower incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting compared	dexmedetomidine prevented postoperative delirium in this study. This suggests that additional interventions may be needed to treat this common complication in elderly surgical patients. Both lidocaine and dexmedetomidine are widely used and low- cost drugs, which makes them affordable options for controlling surgical stress and
duration of postoperative extubation was longer in group D compared to groups C and L. Overall, the study suggests that continuous intraoperative intravenous infusion of lidocaine or dexmedetomidine can reduce surgical stress and inflammatory responses in elderly patients undergoing thoracoscopic surgery. However, the administration of either drug failed to prevent postoperative delirium. It is	However, more research is needed to investigate their long- term effects and impact on clinical outcomes.

						the research result	
						text article and may	
						not contain all the	
						dotails of the study	
						results For a more	
						comprohensive	
						understanding of the	
						study results we	
						study results, we	
						the full text of the	
						article provided in the	
						attached file	
Single-bolus	India	The objective of the	-Control Group:	Randomized	-Control Group: 51	The study included a	The study suggests
dexmedetomidine in	mana	study was to	Volume-matched	Double	patients	total of 101 patients.	that a single bolus
prevention of		investigate the	normal Saline	Blind	P	with 50 patients	dose of
emergence delirium		efficacy of a single-		Clinical	- Experimental	receiving	dexmedetomidine can
in pediatric		bolus dose of	-Experimental	Trial	Group: 50 patients	dexmedetomidine and	be used effectively to
ophthalmic		dexmedetomidine in	Group:		<u> </u>	51 patients receiving	prevent emergency
surgeries: A		reducing the incidence	Dexmedetomidine		Patients from 2 to	normal saline as a	delirium (ED) in
randomized		of emergence delirium	$0,4 \mu g/kg$ as a		12 years old in	control group. The	pediatric ophthalmic
controlled trial		(ED) in pediatric	single bolus over 10		ophthalmologic	demographic and	surgery, reducing the
(Surya)		ophthalmic surgeries.	min immediately		surgery	perioperative	need for rescue
		Additionally, the study	after intubation		U <i>Y</i>	characteristics of both	analgesia without
		aimed to assess pain				groups were similar,	compromising
		relief, the number of				except for a higher	hemodynamic
		patients who needed				number of children	parameters. This
		rescue analgesia,				aged between 1 and 7	finding is significant
		hemodynamic				years in the	because ED is a
		parameters, and				dexmedetomidine	common
		adverse events.				group.	postoperative
						The administration of	neurological
						dexmedetomidine 0,4	complication that
						µg/kg in a single bolus	causes behavioral
						over 10 minutes	disturbances leading
						immediately after	to self-trauma and
						intubation significantly	also has long-term
						reduced the incidence	adverse effects in
						of emergence delirium	children
						(ED) and pain	The administration of
						compared to the	dexmedetomidine can
						control group. The	significantly reduce
						incidence of ED was	the incidence of ED

significantly lower in	and pain in children
group D	undergoing
(dexmedetomidine	ophthalmic surgery.
group) compared to	However, the study
group C (control group)	also found that the
(2,0 % vs. 58,8 %, P <	presence of parents in
0,0001), and the	the post-anesthetic
incidence of severe ED	recovery room (PACU)
was significantly lower	can help reduce the
in group D compared to	incidence of erectile
group C (0 % vs. 5,9 %,	dysfunction in children
$\vec{P} = 0,00$, the incidence	undergoing
of pain was	ophthalmic surgery.
significantly lower in	By reducing the need
group D compared to	for rescue analgesia,
group C (14 % vs. 58,8	dexmedetomidine can
%, P < 0,0001) and the	minimize the use of
need for rescue	additional
analgesia was	medications and their
significantly lower in	associated side
group D compared to	effects. Thus,
group C (6 % vs. 46 %, P	healthcare
< 0,0001).	professionals involved
Hemodynamic	in pediatric
parameters such as	ophthalmic surgery
heart rate (HR),	may consider
systolic blood pressure	incorporating
(SBP) and diastolic	dexmedetomidine as
blood pressure (DBP)	part of their
were monitored	anesthetic
throughout the	management to
procedure. The	improve patient
administration of	comfort and reduce
dexmedetomidine	the risk of ED.
resulted in a significant	Overall, the study
decrease in HR at 5	suggests that
minutes and SBP at 15	dexmedetomidine 0,4
minutes compared to	µg/kg as a single bolus
the control group.	over 10 minutes
The study concluded	immediately after
that a single bolus dose	intubation is an
of dexmedetomidine	effective and safe
effectively prevented	option for reducing

					emergency delirium and reduced the need for rescue analgesia without compromising hemodynamic parameters in children undergoing ophthalmic surgery.	the incidence of ED and pain in children undergoing ophthalmic surgery without compromising hemodynamic parameters. The study's findings have important clinical and practical implications for anesthesiologists and surgeons performing pediatric
Effect of Emert	This study sizes does	Control Course	De la de la cita d	Course CONT. 25	The study is shuded 400	ophthalmic surgery.
Effect of Egypt y	This study almed to	- <u>Control Group</u>	Randomized	- <u>Group CONT</u> : 25	The study included 100	Dexmedetomidine,
Devamethasone and	devmedetomidine	received normal	Blind	patients	randomly assigned to 4	ondansetron are
Ondansetron on	dexamethasone. and	saline via infusion	Clinical	-Group DEX: 25	groups: the DEX group.	effective in
Postoperative	ondansetron for	after induction of	Trial	patients	the OND group, the	preventing
Nausea and Vomiting	preventing PONV in	anesthesia.			DEXMED group and the	postoperative nausea
in Children	children undergoing			-Group OND: 25	CONT group. The DEX	and vomiting (PONV)
Undergoing Dental	dental rehabilitation	-Experimental		patients	group received	in pediatric patients
Rehabilitation: A	surgery.	Group 1 (Group			dexamethasone, the	undergoing dental
Randomized		<u>DEX</u>): received 0,15		-Group DEXMED: 25	OND group received	rehabilitation surgery.
Controlled Trial		mg/kg		patients	ondansetron, the	Dexmedetomidine has
(Shama)		Dexamethasone via			DEXMED group received	a better sedative and
		infusion.			dexmedetomidine and	analgesic effect
		Francisco e e tal		Detionts and (1)	the CONI group	compared to
		- <u>Experimental</u>		Patients aged 6-12	received saline, each	dexametnasone and
		OND: received		schodulod for	group containing 25	The entimal doce of
		0.05 mg/kg		dental	Demographic data	devmedetomidine for
		Ondansetron via		rehabilitation	including age gender	better effect on PONV
		infusion		surgery under	$\Delta S \Delta = 0$ or $B = 0$ obvical	without affecting
				general anesthesia	status classification.	hemodynamic stability
		-Experimental		50	body weight, surgery	requires more studies.
		Group 3 (Group			and duration of	The study provides
		DEXMED): received			anesthesia, were	evidence-based
		0,3 µg/kg			comparable between	information for
		Dexmedetomidine			the groups.	clinicians to choose
		via infusion.			The number of children	the appropriate
					who developed	medication for
					delirious agitation	preventing PONV in

postoperatively was	pediatric patients
significantly lower in	undergoing dental
the group receiving	rehabilitation surgery.
dexmedetomidine	The study highlights
compared to the	the importance of
groups receiving	preventing PONV in
dexamethasone,	pediatric patients to
ondansetron and the	avoid complications
control group.	such as wound
Postoperative pain	dehiscence, prolonged
scores were	hospital admission,
significantly reduced in	readmission,
the groups receiving	dehydration, and
dexmedetomidine and	electrolyte
ondansetron compared	imbalance.
to the control group at	The study suggests
different times.	that
The incidence of	dexmedetomidine can
postoperative nausea	be used as an
and vomiting (PONV)	alternative to
was significantly lower	dexamethasone and
in the DEX, DEXMED	ondansetron for
and OND groups	preventing PONV in
compared to the CONT	pediatric patients
group (P < 0,05).	undergoing dental
However, the	rehabilitation surgery,
incidence of PONV was	especially in cases
not significantly	where sedation and
different between the	analgesia are also
DEX, DEXMED and OND	required.
groups (P > 0,05).	The study provides a
The number of patients	basis for further
requiring rescue	research to
antiemetics was	investigate the
significantly lower in	optimal dose of
the DEX, DEXMED and	dexmedetomidine for
OND groups compared	preventing PONV in
to the CONT group (P <	pediatric patients
0,05). However, the	undergoing dental
number of patients	rehabilitation surgery
requiring rescue	without affecting
antiemetics was not	hemodynamic stability
significantly different	1.

					between the DEX, DEXMED and OND groups (P > 0,05). The level for all analyses was set at P < 0,05.	Overall, the study has important clinical/practical implications for preventing PONV in pediatric patients undergoing dental rehabilitation surgery, and it provides valuable information for clinicians to choose the appropriate medication for their
Effect of China Dexmedetomidine on Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in Patients Undergoing Emergency Trauma Surgery. (Yu)	The objective of the study was to evaluate the effects of intraoperative and postoperative low- dose intravenous pumping dexmedetomidine on posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among patients with trauma undergoing emergency surgery.	- <u>Control Group</u> : Normal Saline, 2 mL - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : Dexmedetomidine hydrochloride, 200 µg/2 mL	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 154 patients - <u>Experimental</u> Group: 156 patients Patients with trauma	A total of 310 patients were included in the modified intention-to- treat analysis, with 154 patients in the normal saline group and 156 patients in the dexmedetomidine group. The study found that intraoperative and postoperative administration of low- dose intravenous pump dexmedetomidine reduced the incidence of PTSD among trauma patients undergoing emergency surgery. The first outcome, the incidence of post- traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group compared to the control group in the first postoperative month (14,1 % vs. 24,0	patients. The administration of low-dose dexmedetomidine during and after emergency trauma surgery can reduce the incidence of post- traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in trauma patients. Thus, dexmedetomidine can be used as a sedative during and after surgery in trauma patients, under appropriate conditions, to help prevent the development of PTSD, as it found that CAPS- 5 scores and the incidence of PTSD were significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group compared to the control group 1 month

 %, p = 0,03). Patients in the dexmedetomidine group scored significantly lower on the clinician-administered PTSD Scale for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (CAPS-5) compared to the control group (7,3 [5,3] vs 18,9 [6,6]; mean difference, 1,65; 95 % CI, 0,31-2,99; P = 0,02). After adjusting for possible confounding factors, patients in the dexmedetomidine group were less likely to develop PTSD than those in the control group in the first postoperative month (adjusted odds ratio, 0,51). The results of this study support the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine to reduce the incidence of PTSD in trauma patients undergoing emergency surgery. None of the trauma patients developed postoperative stroke, myocardial infarction, acute kidney injury or heart failure. 	after surgery, indicating that dexmedetomidine can reduce the severity and occurrence of PTSD in trauma patients in the emergency room. In summary, the study suggests that intraoperative and postoperative administration of dexmedetomidine by intravenous pumping in low doses could be used as a preventive measure for PTSD in trauma patients in the emergency room. The study provides evidence that early anesthetic management can prevent the occurrence of PTSD in trauma patients in the emergency room. The study also suggests that low dose dexmedetomidine pumped during and after emergency trauma surgery was safe and did not cause circulatory instability. These findings could have significant clinical/practical implications for the management of PTSD
heart failure.	implications for the management of PTSD in trauma patients

							surgery.
	In a secondary Germany	The objective of the	-Control Group:	Randomized	-Control Group: 30	The study included 56	The study suggests
	analysis from a	study discussed in the	Equivalent volume	Double	patients.	cases of complete	that the perioperative
	randomised,	search result was to	of Normal Saline	Blind	F	measurements of	use of
	double-blind	investigate the link		Clinical	-Experimental	cholinesterase activity.	dexmedetomidine
	placebo-controlled	between blood	-Experimental	Trial	Group: 26 patients.	with 30 patients	may have practical
	trial	cholinesterase	Group: 0,7 µg/kg		·	receiving standard	implications in
	Dexmedetomidine	activities and	$PC/h = 0,4 \mu g/kg$		Abdominal or	general anesthesia	reducing the incidence
	blocks cholinergic	dexmedetomidine, an	PC/h de		cardiac surgical	(placebo) and 26	of postoperative
	dysregulation in	alpha-2	Dexmedetomidina		patients aged≥ 60	patients receiving	delirium (POD). Thus,
	delirium pathogene	adrenoreceptor			years.	dexmedetomidine in	it was found that
	esis in patients with	agonist, in patients				addition to general	administration of
	major surgery	with major abdominal				anesthesia.	dexmedetomidine
	(Jacob)	or cardiac surgery.				Dexmedetomidine	stabilizes
		The study aimed to				resulted in no change	acetylcholinesterase
		determine whether				in AChE activity and	(AChE) activity levels
		dexmedetomidine				caused a rapid	and promotes rapid
		could alleviate				recovery of BChE	recovery of
		postoperative delirium				activity after an initial	butyrylcholinesterase
		(POD) via altering the				decrease, while	(BChE) activity after
		cholinergic anti-				placebo showed a	surgery, while placebo
		inflammatory pathway				significant decrease in	showed a steady
		(CAIP). The study was				both cholinesterase	postoperative decline
		a secondary analysis of				activities. Thus, it was	in both enzyme
		a randomized, double-				found that the use of	activities.
		blind, placebo-				dexmedetomidine	These findings
		controlled trial that				resulted in a	indicate a possible
		recently showed a				significantly lower	association between
		lower incidence of				incidence of	dexmedetomidine and
		POD in the				postoperative delirium	the regulation of
		dexmedetomidine				(POD) by altering the	cholinesterase
		group. The study				cholinergic anti-	activities, which are
		analyzed the course of				inflammatory pathway	involved in the
		perioperative				(CAIP), acting on	cholinergic anti-
		cholinesterase				cholinesterase activity	inflammatory pathway
		activities of 56				Dexmedetomidine	(CAIP). Thus, the anti-
		patients, measured				administration	inflammatory and
		preoperatively and				attenuated NF-KB	ninnunomodulatory
		The objective of the				activation and the	properties of
		study was to even inc				inflammatory outobing	may contribute to ite
		whether the use of				in mice with LDC	nay contribute to its
1		whether the use of				In fince with LPS-	potential to relieve

. .

	dexmedetomidine in				induced inflammation.	POD by increasing
	addition to general				The results of this	CAIP.
	anesthesia alters the				study suggest a	Further research is
	perioperative course				regulatory effect of	needed to validate
	of				dexmedetomidine on	these results and
	acetylcholinesterase				the cholinergic system,	examine the use of
	(AChE) and				supporting the role of	dexmedetomidine in
	butyrylcholinesterase				the cholinergic system	homogeneous
	(BChE) activity. The				in the pathogenesis of	populations, with the
	study found that				delirium.	statistical power to
	dexmedetomidine					address this question
	resulted in no change					effectively. In
	in AChE activity and					addition, the study
	caused a rapid					highlights the role of
	recovery of BChE					the cholinergic system
	activity after an initial					in the pathogenesis of
	decrease, while					delirium and suggests
	placebo showed a					that
	significant decrease in					dexmedetomidine
	both cholinesterase					may have a regulatory
	activities. From these					effect on the
	data, it can be					cholinergic system,
	assumed that					providing information
	dexmedetomidine					for future research
	could alleviate POD					and possible clinical
	via altering the					applications.
	cholinergic anti-					- F F
	inflammatory pathway					
	(CAIP). The study					
	advocates for further					
	investigations to show					
	the direct connection					
	hetween					
	devmedetomidine and					
	cholinesterase activity					
Effect of China	Postoperative nausea	Control Group	Randomized	Control Group: 4	1 The study compared	The study's findings
devmedetomidine on	and vomiting (PONV)	Placebo normal	Double	nationts	the effects of different	have several clinical
prevention	and volliting (10111)	salino	Blind	patients	doses of different	and practical
postoperative	effects following	satine	Clinical	-Experimental	devmedetomidine	implications for the
nausea and vomiting	strahismus surgery	-Experimental	Trial	Group 1. A	0 (DEX) on the incidence	lise of
in pediatric	The present study	Group 1: 0.3 µg/kg	mat	nationts	of postoperative	devmedetomidine in
strahismus surgery: a	aimed to compare the	devmedetomidine		putients	nausea and vomiting	nediatric nationts
strabismus surgery. a	effects of different	ucxilieuetoiniulile			(PONV) in podiatric	undergoing strabismus
	checks of undefent				(ionv) in pediatric	undergoing sciabisillus

27 Araujo Barreto et al.

randomized controlled study.	doses of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on PONV incidence in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery	- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : 0,5 µg/kg dexmedetomidine	- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : 41 patients Pediatric patients undergoing strabismus.	patients undergoing strabismus surgery. It found that the overall incidence of PONV during the first 24 hours post-operation was significantly lower in the DEX2 group (0,5 µg/kg dexmedetomidine) at 10 % compared to the Placebo group at 32 %. The intraoperative oculocardiac reflex (OCR) was significantly reduced in the DEX2 group (42 %) compared to the placebo group (68 %). There was no significant difference in postoperative vomiting (PVO) between the three groups. Dexmedetomidine (0,5 µg/kg) reduced OCR and PONV without increasing extubation or recovery time in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery. Pediatric anesthesia emergence delirium (PAED) and emergence agitation (EA) scores were similar between the three groups during recovery time.	surgery. The study showed that dexmedetomidine can be used as a supplemental drug to reduce the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) without lengthening extubation time or recovery time. This is important because PONV is a common side effect of strabismus surgery and can cause discomfort, complications, and delay in patient discharge. The study also found that dexmedetomidine reduced the incidence of intraoperative oculocardiac reflex (OCR), which is associated with traction on the eye muscle during surgery. This is important because OCR can cause bradycardia and hypotension, which can lead to serious complications. The study used lower doses of dexmedetomidine to reduce the incidence of adverse events such as bradycardia and hypotension, which are associated with
---------------------------------	---	--	---	---	---

						higher doses of dexmedetomidine. The study concluded that dexmedetomidine (0,5 µg/kg) reduced OCR and PONV without
						extubation time or recovery time in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery. The study's findings suggest that dexmedetomidine can
						be used as an effective and safe antiemetic drug in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery. However, the study also mentioned that
						the optimal dose of dexmedetomidine for achieving anti-emetic effects has not been well documented, and that the sedative
						effect of dexmedetomidine is dose dependent. Therefore, further studies are needed to determine the optimal dose of
						dexmedetomidine for different surgical procedures and patient populations.
Postoperative China infusion of dexmedetomidine via intravenous	Postoperative delirium (POD) is a common clinical complication in elderly patients	- <u>Group Control</u> : 3 ug/kg sufentanil without Dexmedetomidine	Randomized Double Blind	- <u>Group Control</u> : 116 patients	The study included 236 patients over 60 years of age undergoing thoracoabdominal	**Practical Implications of the Paper:**

patient-controlled	after surgery and		Clinical	-Experimental	tumor surgery, with	- Administering
analgesia for	predicts poor	-Experimental	Trial	Group: 120 patients	120 patients in the DEX	dexmedetomidine
prevention of	outcomes. The aim of	Group: 3 ug/kg		·	group and 116 patients	(DEX) via intravenous
postoperative	the study was to	sufentanil and 3		Patients over the	in the control group.	patient-controlled
delirium	investigate whether	ug/kg		age of 60	The incidence of	analgesia (PCIA) after
in elderly patients	postoperative infusion	Dexmedetomidine		undergoing	postoperative delirium	maior
undergoing surgery	of dexmedetomidine			thoracoabdominal	(POD) in all patients	thoracoabdominal
	(DEX) had a			tumor surgery.	was 7 %. However, the	surgery in elderly
	prophylactic effect on				incidence of	patients may help
	POD in elderly				postoperative delirium	reduce the occurrence
	patients.				(POD) in the control	of postoperative
	•				group was significantly	delirium (POD).
					higher than in the DEX	- The study found that
					group (10,1 % vs. 3,4 %,	the incidence of POD
					$\vec{P} = 0,042$).	was significantly lower
					There were no	in the DEX group
					significant differences	compared to the
					in length of hospital	control group.
					stay, length of ICU	- This finding suggests
					stay, percentage of	that incorporating DEX
					patients discharged	into postoperative
					within 7 days of	pain management
					surgery, non-delirium-	protocols may be
					related complications	beneficial in
					and all-cause deaths	preventing POD in
					within 30 days between	elderly patients
					the two groups.	undergoing surgery.
					The incidence of	- The use of DEX via
					hypertension was lower	PCIA can potentially
					in the DEX group	improve patient
					compared to the	outcomes by reducing
					control group (P =	the incidence of
					0,003). However, the	delirium, which can
					incidence of non-	lead to prolonged
					delirium-related	hospital stays,
					complications was	increased resource
					similar between the	utilization, and poor
					two groups.	functional recovery.
					The study found that	- Additionally, the
					postoperative infusion	study showed that the
					of dexmedetomidine	use of DEX did not
					via patient-controlled	significantly affect
					intravenous analgesia	other outcomes such

undergoing major day all-cause deaths. thoracoabdominal surgery. The primary Note: The practical endpoint of the study implications of this was the incidence of paper suggest that POD, assessed twice daily within 7 days of surgery by the management Richmond Agitation- Sedation Scale (RASS) and the Confusion Assessment Method - delirium in elderly Intensive Care Unit patients undergoing (CAM-ICU). Secondary major outcomes were days of postoperative hospitalization, length of ICU stay, adverse events and complications not related to delirium. The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	(PCA) can reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients	as length of hospital stay, ICU stay time, non-delirium complications, and 30-
was the incidence of paper suggest that POD, assessed twice incorporating DEX into daily within 7 days of postoperative pain surgery by the Richmond Agitation- protocols may be a Sedation Scale (RASS) and the Confusion Assessment Method - delirium in elderly Intensive Care Unit patients undergoing (CAM-ICU). Secondary outcomes were days of postoperative hospitalization, length of ICU stay, adverse events and complications not related to delirium. The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg Sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	undergoing major thoracoabdominal surgery. The primary endpoint of the study	day all-cause deaths. Note: The practical implications of this
Sedation Scale (RASS) valuable strategy to and the Confusion prevent postoperative Assessment Method - delirium in elderly Intensive Care Unit patients undergoing (CAM-ICU). Secondary major outcomes were days of postoperative surgery. hospitalization, length of ICU stay, adverse events and complications not related to delirium. The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg Sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	POD, assessed twice daily within 7 days of surgery by the Richmond Agitation	incorporating DEX into postoperative pain management
(CAM-ICU). Secondary major outcomes were days of postoperative surgery. hospitalization, length of ICU stay, adverse events and complications not related to delirium. The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	Sedation Scale (RASS) and the Confusion Assessment Method - Intensive Care Unit	valuable strategy to prevent postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing
of ICU stay, adverse events and complications not related to delirium. The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	(CAM-ICU). Secondary outcomes were days of postoperative hospitalization. length	major thoracoabdominal surgery.
The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	of ICU stay, adverse events and complications not related to delirium.	
group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery, which consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	The study involved 236 patients aged over 60, who were randomly assigned to the DEX	
consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	group (Group D) or the control group (Group C). DEX was delivered via PCIA pump 1-3 days after surgery which	
C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	consisted of 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX in Group D, and 3 ug/kg sufentanil without DEX in Group	
	C. The PCIA parameters were programmed as follows: total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of	

					2 ml with a 10 min	
					block and background	
					infusion rate of 2 ml/h.	
Effects of China	To evaluate the	-Control Group 1	Randomized	-Control Group 1	Dexmedetomidine in	Dexmedetomidine can
dexmedetomidine at	effects of different	(NS Group):	Double	(NS Group): 30	different doses	be used in elderly
different	doses of	received normal	Blind	natients	(0.25/0.5/0.75 µg/kg)	patients undergoing
dosages on	dexmedetomidine on	saline 0.1 ml/kg for	Clinical	patientsi	was administered to	hip replacement
perioperative	hemodynamics during	15 min before	Trial	-Control Group 2	elderly patients	surgery under general
hemodynamics	surgery and	anesthesia		(MD Group): 30	undergoing hip	anesthesia to relieve
and postoperative	recovery after general	induction $+ 0.125$		patients.	replacement surgery	postoperative
recovery quality in	anesthesia in elderly	ml/kg/h		patienter	under general	agitation without
elderly	patients undergoing	continuous infusion		-Experimental	anesthesia. Compared	causing delayed
patients undergoing	hip replacement.	until the end of		Group 1 (D0.25	to the control groups.	recovery. In addition.
hip replacement		operation.		Group): 30	there were significant	at a dose of 0.25 to 0.5
surgerv.				patients.	reductions in mean	ug/kg as an initial
under general		-Control Group 2		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	arterial pressure (MAP)	loading dose, followed
anesthesia: a		(MD Group):		-Experimental	and heart rate (HR) in	by a continuous
randomized		received		Group 2 (D0,5	the D0,5 and D0,75	infusion of 0,5
controlled trial		midazolam 0,03		Group): 30	groups at various times	µg/kg/h, it can
		mg/kg for		patients.	during the	provide a comfortable
		anesthesia			perioperative period.	recovery after general
		induction.		-Experimental	The percentage of	anesthesia with mild
				Group 3 (D0,75	patients with	hemodynamic
		-Experimental		<u>Group)</u> : 30	reductions in MAP and	inhibition.
		<u>Group 1 (D0,25</u>		patients.	HR >20 % from baseline	However, care should
		Group): received			was higher in the D0,5	be taken when using
		dexmedetomidine		Patients \geq 65 years	and D0,75 groups	higher doses of
		0,25 µg/kg for 15		undergoing hip	compared to the other	dexmedetomidine, as
		min before		replacement.	groups. The 95 %	it can cause significant
		anesthesia			confidence interval (CI)	reductions in mean
		induction + 0,5			of the relative risk (RR)	arterial pressure
		µg/kg/h			for MAP below >20 % of	(MAP) and heart rate
		continuous infusion			baseline in the D0,5	(HR) during the
		until the end of			and D0,75 groups was	perioperative period.
		operation.			greater than 1,	Therefore, monitoring
					indicating a higher risk	hemodynamic
		-Experimental			of MAP reduction.	parameters is
		<u>Group 2 (D0,5</u>			No serious side effects	essential when
		Group): received			were observed, and the	administering
		dexmedetomidine			incidence of adverse	dexmedetomidine in
		0,5 µg/kg for 15			events was similar	higher doses to ensure
		min before			between all groups.	patient safety.
		anesthesia			Fourteen patients in	

		induction + 0,5 µg/kg/h continuous infusion until the end of operation.			the D0,75 group had to stop the dexmedetomidine infusion due to unstable hemodynamic parameters.	Dexmedetomidine can effectively relieve emergency agitation or delirium during the recovery period after general anesthesia
		- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 3 (D0,75</u> <u>Group)</u> : received dexmedetomidine			However, dexmedetomidine was able to relieve agitation in elderly	and may have potential benefits in reducing postoperative pain in
		0,75 µg/kg for 15 min before anesthesia induction + 0,5			patients undergoing hip arthroplasty after intravenous general anesthesia combined	elderly patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. Further research is
		µg/kg/h continuous infusion until the end of operation.			with inhaled sevoflurane, and there was no delay in awakening from	needed to determine the optimal dosage and administration regimen of
					According to the Riker Agitated Sedation Scale,	elderly patients undergoing hip replacement surgery
					dexmedetomidine significantly relieved emergency agitation or delirium compared to	to achieve satisfactory sedation and analgesia while maintaining stable hemodynamics.
Dexmedetomidine China decreased the post- thyroidectomy bleeding by reducing cough and	Bleeding after thyroidectomy occurs due to violent coughing during emergence.	- <u>Control Group</u> (<u>Group S)</u> : Normal Saline was administered	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 70 patients - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 69 patients	The administration of dexmedetomidine significantly reduced the incidence of severe cough (4,3 % vs. 11,5 %)	The administration of dexmedetomidine during recovery from anesthesia can effectively reduce
emergence agitation D - a randomized, is double-blind, s controlled study a	Dexmedetomidine is helpful for the smooth emergence and suppression of cough. The purpose of	- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group (Group D)</u> : Dexmedetomidine was administered (0,6 µg/kg/h)		Patients (ASA I-II, aged 20 to 60 years) undergoing thyroidectomy	and emergency agitation (7,9 % vs. 20,1 %) compared to the control group, and postoperative bleeding	postoperative bleeding after thyroidectomy by suppressing coughing and emergent
	the present study was to compare the effects of dexmedetomidine on postoperative	without a loading.			was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group by the second	agitation. Thus, it can be considered a useful intervention to minimize the risk of
	bleeding after thyroidectom				postoperative day.	postoperative bleeding in patients

There were no	undergoing
significant differences	thyroidectomy.
in patient	Doctors may consider
characteristics,	using
duration of surgery,	dexmedetomidine (0,6
amount of	µg/kg/h) without a
intraoperative fluid	loading dose as a
and duration of study	preventative measure
drug infusion between	to decrease the
the two groups.	incidence of severe
Hemodynamic data	cough and emergence
showed little change	agitation, which are
during the infusion of	known risk factors for
the study drugs, with	post-operative
no significant	bleeding after
differences in mean	thyroidectomy.
arterial pressure	However, further
between the two	evaluation is required
groups. However, heart	to determine the
rate was significantly	optimal dosing method
lower in the	and infusion rate of
dexmedetomidine	dexmedetomidine to
group immediately	reduce coughing and
before extubation.	emergence agitation.
The Ramsay sedation	Overall, the study
scale scores were	suggests that
significantly higher in	dexmedetomidine
the dexmedetomidine	may be a useful drug
group, indicating a	in reducing post-
calmer state in the	operative bleeding
post-anesthetic care	after thyroidectomy
unit. Overall, the	by reducing cough and
results suggest that the	agitation on
administration of	awakening. However,
dexmedetomidine	more studies are
during recovery from	needed to confirm
anesthesia can	these results and
effectively reduce	determine the optimal
postoperative bleeding	dose and timing of
by suppressing	dexmedetomidine
coughing and	administration.
emergency agitation.	

Analysis of China	The objective of the	- <u>Control Group</u> :	Randomized	- <u>Control Group</u> : 26	The experimental	The use of
anesthetic effect of	study, as stated in the	normal saline in	Double	patients.	group, which received	dexmedetomidine
dexmedetomidine	research paper, was to	the same volume	Blind		continuous	(DEX) during femoral
in femoral shaft	investigate the effect	and time.	Clinical	- <u>Experimental</u>	dexmedetomidine	shaft fracture surgery
fracture surgery	of dexmedetomidine		Trial	Group: 26 patients.	(DEX) pumping during	can effectively
	(DEX) on	-Experimental			anesthesia, had	stabilize patients'
	hemodynamics and	Group:			significantly lower	hemodynamics, as
	recovery period after	Dexmedetomidine		Patients, aged	mean arterial pressure	evidenced by
	femoral shaft fracture	was 1 ug/kg in the		between 3 and 7	(MAP) and heart rate	significantly lower
	surgery. The study	first 10 minutes,		years, who	(HR) compared to the	mean arterial pressure
	aimed to compare the	and then the		underwent surgery	control group at times	(MAP) and heart rate
	effects of DEX and	maintenance dose		to reduce a	T2 to T4. The	(HR) in the
	propofol, which is the	was 0.5 ug/(kg/h)		diaphyseal fracture	extubation time of the	experimental group
	most used sedative	/ 3 (3 /		of the femur.	experimental group	compared to the
	anesthetic in clinical				was longer than that of	control group. It may
	practice, on various				the control group.	also help to reduce the
	parameters such as				However, the Pediatric	incidence of
	mean arterial pressure				Anesthesia Emergence	postoperative
	(MAP), heart rate				Delirium (PAED) score	agitation during
	(HR), extubation time,				and the incidence of	recovery from
	agitation score, and				agitation in the	anesthesia, as
	agitation rate.				recovery period were	indicated by lower
					lower in the	Pediatric Anesthesia
					experimental group	Emergence Delirium
					compared to the	(PAED) scores and
					control group at times	lower rates of
					T5 to T7.	agitation in the
					In conclusion, the study	experimental group.
					found that intravenous	DEX has a highly
					anesthesia combined	selective a2-
					with continuous DEX	adrenergic receptor
					pumping can	agonist effect, which
					effectively stabilize	can reduce and
					patients'	mitigate adverse
					hemodynamics and	reactions as much as
					reduce the incidence of	possible. As well as
					postoperative agitation	this, it has a certain
					during anesthesia	neuroprotective
					recovery. The study	effect on the
					suggests that DEX can	developing brain.
					be used as an adjuvant	without affecting
					drug for general	memory, and is more
					anesthesia in femoral	suitable for the

					shaft fracture surgery to improve patient comfort during the perioperative period.	developing brain and can awaken at any time during sedation, and sedation also has a protective effect on the nervous system. However, it is important to note that the use of DEX can prolong extubation time, which should be considered in clinical practice. Overall, the results suggest that incorporating dexmedetomidine into intravenous anesthesia for femoral shaft fracture surgery can bring practical benefits in terms of stabilizing hemodynamics and reducing post- operative agitation. However, the possible impact on extubation time should be taken into account when
Ketamine Enhances China	The study aimed to	- Control Group	Randomized	- Control Group	The study included 66	considering its use. Pre-medication with a
Intranasal	compare the sedative	(Group DK):	Double	(Group DK): 33	children, with 63	combination of
Induced Sedation in	dexmedetomidine	kg-1 and	Clinical	beginning and 31 at	the analysis. There	dexmedetomidine and
Children: A	alone versus a	Dexmedetomidine	Trial	the end.	were no significant	ketamine can improve
Randomized,	combination of	2 µg kg-1			differences in subject	sedation in preschool
Double-Blind Trial	dexmedetomidine and	Exportmontal		- Experimental	characteristics or	children undergoing
	patients undergoing	Group (Group D):		patients at the	between the two	compared to
	surgery under general	Dexmedetomidine		beginning and 32 at	groups. However, the	dexmedetomidine
	anesthesia. The study	2 µg kg-1		the end.	combination of	alone. This finding
	measured the duration				intranasal	suggests that
	of sedation, ease of				dexmedetomidine and	combination therapy

parental separation, and facemask acceptance scores, as well as the Modified Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (MOAA/S) scores after intervention.	Patients from 3 to 7 year old undergoing surgery under general.	ketamine produced better sedation for pediatric tonsillectomy than dexmedetomidine alone. 30 minutes after premedication, the level of sedation assessed by the Modified Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (MOAA/S) was lower in the group receiving dexmedetomidine and ketamine (Group DK) compared to the group receiving dexmedetomidine alone (Group D). The median difference in the MOAA/S score was 1,0 (95 % confidence interval [CI]: 1,0-2,0, P<0,001). Group DK showed a considerably faster onset of sedation (15 minutes, 95 % CI: 14,2- 15,8 min) compared to Group D (24 minutes, 95 % CI: 23,2-24,8 min),	may be a more effective option for sedation in this patient population. The use of intranasal premedication of dexmedetomidine and ketamine is associated with improved sedation and higher scores on the Pediatric Sedation Assessment Score (PSAS) and the Modified Aldrete Score (MAS) compared to dexmedetomidine alone. This indicates that combination therapy can provide better sedation quality and patient satisfaction. Importantly, combination therapy does not prolong emergency time or increase the risk of clinically relevant adverse events. This suggests that it is a safe and well- tolerated option for premedication in
		95 % CI: 23,2-24,8 min), with a mean difference	premedication in pediatric
		7,0-9,0 min, P<0,001). The parental	In summary, the study suggests that the
		separation anxiety and face mask acceptance	intranasal combination of dexmedetomidine and
		Group DK compared to Group D. However,	ketamine may be a safe and effective
		there were no	premedication for

					significant differences	pediatric
					between the two	tonsillectomy, which
					groups in terms of	may improve the
					emergency time,	quality of care for
					incidence of	pediatric patients
					emergency delirium.	undergoing surgery
					postoperative pain	under general
					scores length of stay in	anesthesia The
					the PACII and adverse	combination can
					offects	provide better
					cheets.	sedation faster onset
						of sodation and
						provent the decline in
						boart rate soon in
						near rate seen in
						dovmodotomidino
						atone. The
						compination can also
						nelp children separate
						calmly from their
						parents and accept
						mask induction
						without hemodynamic
						fluctuations and
						respiratory
						compromise.
Functional Magnetic China	The aim of the study is	- <u>Control group</u> :	Randomized	- <u>Control group</u> : 22	Dexmedetomidine	Dexmedetomidine
Resonance Imaging	to explore the effects	were intravenously	Double	patients	(DEX)-assisted	(DEX)-assisted
of Brain Function	of dexmedetomidine	pumped with 0,9 %	Blind		anesthesia, together	anesthesia, together
and	(DEX) on functional	NaCl	Clinical	- <u>Experimental</u>	with a comfortable	with a comfortable
Emergence Agitation	magnetic resonance	solution at the	Trial	Group 1 (Group A):	nursing intervention,	nursing intervention,
of Patients with	imaging (fMRI) and	same speed.		22 patients	significantly reduced	can effectively reduce
Dexmedetomidine-	emergency agitation				the occurrence of	the occurrence of
Assisted	in patients undergoing	-Experimental		- <u>Experimental</u>	emergency agitation in	emergency agitation
General Anesthesia	routine anesthesia.	Group 1 (Group A):		Group 2 (Group B):	patients undergoing	in patients undergoing
under Comfortable	The emergency	received		22 patients	general anesthesia	general anesthesia
Nursing Intervention	agitation of patients	Dexmedetomidine			surgery with	surgery with
	undergoing general	1 µg/kg/h		Patients undergoing	sevoflurane. It also led	sevoflurane. This can
	anesthesia surgery	anesthesia		upper abdominal.	to a decrease in heart	lead to better patient
	with sevoflurane	induction under		•••	rate, mean arterial	outcomes and
	under comfortable	routine nursing			pressure, awakening	satisfaction with
	nursing intervention.	intervention.			time, extubation time.	nursing care.
	66 patients undergoing				Riker's sedation and	However, comfortable

Z-values between the In addition, the study different brain regions highlights the in each group. importance of careful The amount of nursing during the and perioperative period remifentanil sevoflurane use was to reduce anxiety and reduced in groups A fear, improve and B compared to the complications during control group. There the recovery period was no considerable and enhance the difference in the overall effects of amount of remifentanil nursing and and sevoflurane use treatment. between group A and group B. Heart rate was notably lower in group A and group B compared to the control group at times T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6. In summary, the study suggests that the use of DEX in combination with sevoflurane during general anesthesia surgery, together with a comfortable nursing intervention, can effectively reduce the occurrence of agitation on awakening in patients and improve patient outcomes. The study also provides insights into the effects of anesthesia methods on patients' brain function and the importance of nursing management during perioperative the period.

Premedication with South	Nasal bone fracture is	-Control Group: 0,5	Randomized	-Control Group: 45	The study found that	Preoperative
dexmedetomidine to Korea	the most common type	ml.kg-1 0,9 %	Double	patients.	the preoperative	administration of
reduce emergence	of facial fracture, and	saline	Blind		administration of	dexmedetomidine can
agitation: a	the high incidence of	intravenously over	Clinical	-Experimental	dexmedetomidine	effectively reduce the
randomized	severe emergence	10 min before	Trial	Group: 45 patients.	resulted in several	incidence and severity
controlled trial	agitation occurring	anesthetic		<u> </u>	significant benefits,	of emergency
	after closed reduction	induction		Patients 20 to 60	including a lower	agitation (EA) in adults
	of the nasal bone			years of age who	incidence of	undergoing closed
	fracture can be	-Experimental		were scheduled to	emergency agitation, a	reduction of nasal
	challenging to	Group:		undergo closed	reduction in the	bone fractures. It also
	manage.	Dexmedetomidine		reduction of a nasal	severity of agitation	reduces the duration
	The purpose of this	1 µg.kg-1 in an		bone fracture.	and a shorter duration	of agitation and
	trial was to evaluate	equal volume of			of agitation. Aono four-	minimizes patient
	whether pre-operative	saline,			point scale scores were	movement during the
	administration of	intravenously, over			lower in the	operation. This finding
	dexmedetomidine is	10 min before			dexmedetomidine	suggests that
	effective in	anesthetic			group compared to the	preoperative
	reducing the incidence	induction			control group (median:	administration of
	and severity of				1 vs. 1, 95 % confidence	dexmedetomidine
	emergence agitation				interval of difference:	may be a valuable
	in adults undergoing				0,01 to 0,02, P = 0,02).	strategy for improving
	closed reduction of				The number, severity	patient comfort and
	nasal bone				and duration of	satisfaction during the
	fractures				agitation episodes	procedure. It may also
					were significantly	contribute to better
					lower in the	post-operative
					dexmedetomidine	outcomes by reducing
					group. In addition, the	the risk of
					number of patients	complications
					who moved	associated with
					intraoperatively was	agitation and
					lower in the	displacement of the
					dexmedetomidine	corrected fracture.
					group.	Dexmedetomidine can
					The length of stay in	be used as an adjuvant
					the post-anesthetic	anesthetic to help
					care unit (PACU) was	maintain stable
					longer in the	intraoperative
					dexmedetomidine	anesthesia, leading to
					group, but the	more stable
					anesthesia time was	maintenance of
					shorter. However,	anesthesia and less
					there was no	movement during

					significant difference	surgery. With this, the
					in numerical rating	study highlights the
					scale (NRS) pain scores	possible benefits of
					between the two	dexmedetomidine in
					groups.	reducing agitation and
					Overall, the study	improving the overall
					suggests that	surgical experience
					preoperative	for patients
					administration of	undergoing closed
					dexmedetomidine may	reduction of nasal
					be an effective	bone fractures. It
					strategy for reducing	provides evidence for
					the incidence and	the use of
					severity of agitation on	dexmedetomidine as a
					awakening in adults	preoperative
					undergoing closed	medication in this
					reduction of nasal bone	specific surgical
					fractures. The results	context.
					of the study may have	
					important implications	
					for the management of	
					patients undergoing	
					this type of surgery.	
The Comparison of Iran	Emergence Agitation	-Experimental	Randomized	-Experimental	The study included 81	The study is that the
the Efficacy of Early	(EA) is a dissociated	Group (Group A):	Double	Group (Group A):	children undergoing	late administration of
versus	state of consciousness	received	Blind	41 patients.	oral surgery and	dexmedetomidine 1
Late Administration	characterized by	dexmedetomidine	Clinical	•	randomly assigned	µg/kg during the last
of Dexmedetomidine	irritability,	infusion during the	Trial	-Experimental	them to two groups:	10 minutes of surgery
on	uncompromising	first 10 minutes		Group (Group B):	early administration of	is a safe and effective
Postoperative	stance, and	and saline solution		40 patients.	dexmedetomidine	choice for reducing
Emergence Agitation	inconsolability. The	during the last 10			(group A, n=41) and	the incidence of
in Children	etiology of EA is not	minutes of surgery.		Patients aged	late administration of	emergence agitation
Undergoing Oral	completely			between 5 and 70	dexmedetomidine	(EA) in children
Surgeries: A	understood.	-Experimental		months who had	(group B, n=40).	undergoing oral
Randomized	Dexmedetomidine is a	Group (Group B):		undergone	The early group (Group	surgeries. The study
Clinical Trial.	highly selective α^2 -	received saline		adenotonsillectomy	A) had a significantly	found that the late
	adrenoreceptor	solution during the		or cleft palate	shorter extubation	administration of
	agonist with sedative	first 10 minutes		repair surgery.	time compared to the	dexmedetomidine
	and analgesic	and			late group (9,59-3,15	provided better
	properties,	dexmedetomidine			vs. 15,43-8,40 min,	sedation and analgesia
	which has been used	infusion during the			P<0,001). While the	than the early
	to reduce the	last 10 minutes of			late group (Group B)	administration during
	incidence of EA. We	surgery.			had a lower FLACC pain	the first 10 minutes of

	aimed to assess the efficacy of early versus late administration of dexmedetomidine on EA in children undergoing oral surgery				score $(2,0\pm1,5$ vs. 4,2±1,6, P<0,001) and a higher Ramsay sedation score $(3,5\pm1,4$ vs. 1,8±0,8, P<0,001) compared to the early group. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of demographic data, total anesthesia time, operative time and length of stay in the PACU. However, delayed administration of dexmedetomidine reduced the incidence of emergency agitation (EA) and improved postoperative pain control.	surgery. The study also showed that the late administration of dexmedetomidine reduced the incidence of EA and post- anesthesia care unit (PACU) length of stay and improved postoperative pain management. Therefore, the study suggests that dexmedetomidine can be used as an adjuvant to sevoflurane anesthesia to reduce the incidence of EA in children undergoing oral surgeries. The study also highlights the importance of choosing the most appropriate technique or drug to reduce the incidence of EA toward smooth recovery from anesthesia.
Efficacy of China premedication with intranasal dexmedetomidine for removal of inhaled foreign bodies in children by flexible fiberoptic bronchoscopy: a randomized,	Tracheobronchial foreign body aspiration in children is a life-threatening, emergent situation. Currently, the use of fiberoptic bronchoscopy for removing foreign bodies is attracting increasing attention. Oxygen	 <u>Control Group</u>: Normal Saline used was 0,01 ml. kg⁻¹. <u>-Experimental</u> <u>Group</u>: dose of intranasal Dexmedetomidine used in the study was 1 μg·kg⁻¹, administered 25 minutes before 	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	 <u>Control Group</u>: 20 patients. <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u>: 20 patients. Tracheobronchial foreign body aspiration in patients aged 6 to 48 months. 	The study found that premedication with intranasal dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 µg-kg-1 administered 25 minutes before induction of anesthesia significantly reduced the incidence of adverse events during fiberoptic bronchoscopy in	The study is significant for the management of tracheobronchial foreign body aspiration in children. The study found that intranasal dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 µg·kg-1 administered 25 minutes before anesthesia induction can reduce the

double-blind,	desaturation, body anesthesia	children, including	incidence of adverse
placebo-controlled	movement, induction	laryngospasm, breath	events during
clinical	laryngospasm,	holding and coughing.	fiberoptic
trial.	bronchospasm, and	Patients who received	bronchoscopy under
	breath-holding are	intranasal	inhalation general
	common adverse	dexmedetomidine had	anesthesia with
	events during foreign	lower parent-child	sevoflurane. The use
	body removal.	separation scores,	of intranasal
	Dexmedetomidine, as	better tolerance to the	dexmedetomidine can
	a highly selective α2-	anesthetic mask and	reduce the incidence
	adrenergic agonist,	lower sevoflurane	of laryngospasm,
	produces sedative and	consumption compared	breath-holding, and
	analgesic effects and	to those who received	coughing during
	does not induce	saline.	foreign body removal,
	respiratory	Dexmedetomidine also	which are common
	depression. We	reduced the frequency	adverse events during
	hypothesized that	of postoperative	the procedure.
	intranasal	agitation without	Patients who received
	dexmedetomidine at	prolonging recovery	intranasal
	1 μg kg – 1	time. In addition, the	dexmedetomidine also
	administered 25 min	incidence of CO2	had a lower parent-
	before anesthesia	retention was	child separation score,
	induction can reduce	significantly lower in	more satisfactory
	the incidence of	the dexmedetomidine	tolerance of the
	adverse events during	group, and patients	anesthetic mask, and
	fiberoptic	who received	less consumption of
	bronchoscopy under	dexmedetomidine	sevoflurane. The
	Innalation general	needed less rescue	frequency of
	anesthesia with	medication during the	postoperative
	sevonurane.	procedure.	agitation was
			significantly lower in
			introneed given
			Intranasal
			dexinedetoinidine,
			and the recovery time
			groups The study
			groups. The study
			intranasal
			dovmodotomidino con
			be used as
			promodication for
			childron undergoing
			children undergoing

							fiberoptic bronchoscopy for foreign body removal, and can improve the safety and efficacy of the procedure.
Postoperative delirium after long- term general anesthesia in elderly patients, how to reduce it? Protocol of a double- blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial.	China	Long operation duration (>4 hours' anesthesia) of laparotomy in elderly patients would increase the risk of postoperative delirium (POD), which is characterized by acute cognitive dysfunction, changes in the level of consciousness, obvious attention disorder, emotional disorder, and sleep-waking cycle disorder. The occurrence of POD is closely related to the risk of death, and it will also seriously affect the cognitive function of patients, prolong postoperative hospital stays, and increase medical expenses. It is known that dexmedetomidine could function in sedation, analgesia, and anti-sympathetic effect, and it also could simulate the normal sleep state of human body, but there is still a lack of clinical	- <u>Control Group</u> : continuous infusion of 0,9 % sodium chloride solution - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : continuous infusion of dexmetomidine	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Patients aging 60- 75 years' old; receiving hepatobiliary laparotomy with an estimated duration of >4 hours in general anesthesia	The study aims to explore the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy. The study design is a prospective, single-center, single- blind, randomized, controlled clinical trial. The mechanism of delirium is unclear and may be related to inflammation, sleep deprivation, physiological stress, traumatic stimulation, medications (anticholinergics, opioids, benzodiazepines) and neurological damage caused by cerebral hypoxia. Surgery can cause a stress response, release inflammatory mediators, and induce delirium. Sample size estimation will be based on the	The study aims to investigate the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy. If the results of the study show that dexmedetomidine is effective in reducing postoperative delirium, this could have significant practical implications for the management of elderly patients undergoing long operations. The use of dexmedetomidine as a sedative, analgesic and antisympathetic agent could improve patient outcomes by reducing the risk of postoperative delirium. The findings of this study could inform clinical practice guidelines and protocols for the perioperative

	study of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of POD in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy				incidence of delirium on the first day after the operation. The incidence rate in the treatment group is 15,5, and 42 in the control group. The measurement data will be tested using the independent sample t- test for normal distribution and homogeneity of variance, and the Mann-Whitney U-test for non-corresponding data. The study is still ongoing, and the results are not yet available.	management of elderly patients, with the aim of reducing the occurrence of postoperative delirium. The study design, implementation and reporting of the results follow established guidelines, ensuring the reliability and validity of the results. Further research and replication of the study in different settings would be necessary to confirm the practical implications of the use of dexmedetomidine in reducing postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy.
The effect of two China different doses of dexmedetomidine to prevent emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy: a randomized controlled trial.	To evaluate different doses of dexmedetomidine for the prevention of emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy.	- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1 (DEX 0,5</u> <u>Group)</u> : 0,5 µg.kg-1 dexmedetomidine <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2 (DEX 1,0</u> <u>Group)</u> : 1,0 µg.kg-1 dexmedetomidine	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1 (DEX 0,5</u> <u>Group)</u> : 58 patients <u>Experimental Group</u> <u>2 (DEX 1,0 Group)</u> : 61 patients Patients aged 3 - 10 years scheduled for adenotonsillectomy	The study aimed to evaluate the effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine in preventing emergence agitation (EA) in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. The results showed that both doses of dexmedetomidine were effective in preventing EA, with no significant difference between the two	In pediatric patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy, both doses of dexmedetomidine (0,5 g.kg-1 and 1 g.kg-1) were equally effective in preventing emergency agitation without delaying extubation and awakening. This suggests that a lower dose of dexmedetomidine (0,5

						groups. The study also evaluated other factors such as cough score and SpO2 below 95 %, but these did not show significant differences between the two groups. The study found that the time to awake, time to extubate, and time of PACU stay were significantly shorter in the DEX 0,5 group compared to the DEX 1 group. The study concluded that both doses of dexmedetomidine were equally beneficial for the prevention of EA in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy.	g.kg-1) can be used to achieve the desired effect, potentially reducing the risk of adverse events associated with higher doses. The combination of the PAED and EA scales can accurately assess agitation in pediatric patients, providing a reliable method for evaluating the effectiveness of interventions. The study highlights the importance of monitoring SpO2 levels during anesthesia, as a higher percentage of patients in the DEX 1 group had low SpO2 compared to the DEX 0,5 group. This finding emphasizes the need for careful dose selection and monitoring to ensure patient safety.
Effect of two Egypt different doses of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of emergence agitation after strabismus surgery: a randomized clinical trial.	Emergence agitation is a postoperative negative behavior that affects mainly children. We studied the effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine on the incidence and degree of EA in children undergoing strabismus surgery	- <u>Control Group</u> : Placebo received 10 mL of normal saline - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1</u> : 0,5 µg.kg-1 of Dexmedetomidine. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : 0,25 µg.kg-1 of	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : patients. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1</u> : patients. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : patients.	30 30 30	The main results of the study were related to the effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of agitation on awakening after strabismus surgery. The study was a randomized clinical trial that included three groups: a high-dose dexmedetomidine	The clinical/practical implications of the study are that dexmedetomidine can be used to reduce the incidence of emergence agitation after strabismus surgery in children. The study showed that the incidence of agitation was significantly lower in

Dexmedetomidine	Strahismus surgery	group, a low-dose	the high dose
	in children aged 3 -	dexmedetomidine	dexmedetomidine
	10 years	group and a placebo	group compared to the
	io years.	group	other groups and it
		The incidence of	was significantly lower
		agitation was	in the low dose
		significantly lower in	devmedetomidine
		the high Dev group	group compared to the
		compared to the other	placebo group. The
		groups and was also	median ELACC score
		significantly lower in	was significantly lower
		the low Dev group	in both
		compared to the	devmedetomidine
		placebo group	groups compared to
		However the Pediatric	the placebo group
		Anesthesia Emergence	Recovery times
		Delirium (PAFD) score	including the time
		was significantly lower	from removal of the
		in both Dex groups	larvingeal mask to eve
		compared to the	opening and the time
		placebo group	stay in the post-
		The time to eve	anesthesia care unit
		opening was	were significantly
		significantly longer in	longer in the high dose
		the high Dex group	dexmedetomidine
		compared to the low	group compared to the
		Dex group and the	other groups.
		placebo group. The	However. no
		time to discharge from	significant
		the PACU with an	bradycardia or
		Aldrete score of 9 or 10	hypotension was
		was significantly longer	recorded. The study
		in the high Dex group	concluded that
		compared to the other	dexmedetomidine (0,5
		two groups.	ug/kg) before
		The incidence of	emergence from
		bradycardia and	general anesthesia
		hypotension was low	resulted in a reduction
		and not significantly	in the incidence of
		different between the	emergence agitation
		groups. In general,	compared to
		dexmedetomidine at a	dexmedetomidine
		higher dose (0,5 g.kg -	$(0,25 \ \mu g/kg)$ but at the

					1) resulted in a reduction in the incidence of emergency agitation compared to a lower dose (0,25 g.kg -1), but at the cost of longer recovery times.	expense of recovery times without adverse effects. Therefore, the use of dexmedetomidine can be considered as a safe and effective option to reduce the incidence of emergence agitation in children undergoing strabismus surgery
Oral trans-mucosal Egypt dexmedetomidine for controlling of emergence agitation in children undergoing tonsillectomy: a randomized controlled trial	Emergence agitation is a negative behavior commonly recorded after pediatric tonsillectomy. We investigated the efficacy of preoperative premedication with oral transmucosal buccal dexmedetomidine on the incidence and severity of emergence agitation in preschool children undergoing tonsillectomy under sevoflurane anesthesia.	- <u>Control Group</u> : Placebo received 10 mL of normal saline - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1 (Group DEX</u> <u>I)</u> : 0,5 µg.kg-1 of Dexmedetomidine. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2 (Group DEX</u> <u>ii)</u> : 1,0 µg.kg-1 of Dexmedetomidine.	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Control Group: 30 patients. 30 -Experimental 30 patients. 30 -Experimental 30 Group 1: 30 -Experimental 30 Group 2: 30 patients. 30 Patients aged (3 - 6 9 years), ASA I - II were enrolled into receive oral transmucosal. 30	The study investigated the efficacy of preoperative premedication with oral transmucosal dexmedetomidine on the incidence and severity of emergency agitation (EA) in preschool children undergoing tonsillectomy under sevoflurane anesthesia. Patient demographic and surgical data were compared between the groups, and there were no significant differences in the preoperative sedation score or extubation time. Significant differences were observed between the groups in the incidence and frequency distribution of each degree of Watcha score at various points in the postoperative period,	Oral trans-mucosal dexmedetomidine can effectively control the heart rate and intraoperative arterial blood pressure in children undergoing tonsillectomy. This finding can be useful for anesthesiologists who aim to maintain hemodynamic stability during surgery. The use of oral trans- mucosal dexmedetomidine did not significantly affect the severity of emergence agitation in children undergoing tonsillectomy. This finding suggests that other pharmacological treatments may be necessary to manage emergence agitation in children. The OTM route for drug administration is easy, needle-free, and avoids the first-pass

with significar	t metabolism. This
differences betwee	n finding suggests that
the DEX I and DEX	II the OTM route can be
groups.	a suitable drug
The DEX groups ha	d delivery method for
lower scores on th	e preoperative
objective pain scal	e medication in small
(OPS) at various time	s children. The study
after arrival in th	e demonstrated the
PACU, with n	o clinical advantage and
difference betwee	n the simple technique
the DEX I and DEX	II of oral trans-mucosal
groups. In additior	i, dexmedetomidine
patients in the DEX	II premedication for
group had a lowe	er emergence agitation
mean heart rate at 1	5 in preschool children
minutes	undergoing
intraoperatively an	d tonsillectomy under
lower mean bloo	d sevoflurane
pressure at variou	a with spling placebo
significant difference	o with satine placebo.
between the groups	t that oral trans-
other times	mucosal
other times.	dexmedetomidine can
	be a useful tool for
	anesthesiologists to
	manage emergence
	agitation in children
	undergoing
	tonsillectomy. The
	study also highlights
	the need for further
	research to
	investigate the
	optimal dose and
	route of
	administration of oral
	trans-mucosal
	aexinedetomiaine for
	seualive in
	childron In
	children.

In conclusion, while the systematic review suggests that dexmedetomidine may offer benefits in preventing postoperative delirium and improving perioperative outcomes, further research is needed to establish optimal dosing, refine assessment methods, and explore its long-term effects. Dexmedetomidine holds promise as a valuable tool in pediatric and geriatric surgical settings, with the potential to enhance patient care and recovery.

REFERENCES

1. Abdel-Ghaffar HS, Abdel-Wahab AH, Roushdy MM. [Oral trans-mucosal dexmedetomidine for controlling of emergence agitation in children undergoing tonsillectomy: a randomized controlled trial]. Braz J Anesthesiol 2019;69:469-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjan.2019.06.012.

2. Abdel-Rahman KA-B, Abd-Elshafy SK, Sayed JA. [Effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of emergence agitation after strabismus surgery: a randomized clinical trial]. Braz J Anesthesiol 2018;68:571-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjan.2018.05.002.

3. Aguilera NR, Jara RG, Machuca-Contreras F. Diagnóstico de la implementación de la gestión del cuidado de enfermería para atención cerrada en Chile. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología 2023;3:348-348. https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2023348.

4. Alassaf HM, Sobahi AM, Alshahrani NS. The efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in preventing emergence delirium in paediatric patients following ophthalmic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. J Anesth Analg Crit Care 2022;2:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s44158-022-00079-y.

5. Amaya AJC, Rojas MG. The art of seduce through a distinctive brand and women's lingerie. Community and Interculturality in Dialogue 2023;3:63-63. https://doi.org/10.56294/cid202363.

6. Bartoszek M, McGuire JM, Wilson JT, Sorensen JS, Vice TFR, Hudson AJ. The Effectiveness of Dexmedetomidine as a Prophylactic Treatment for Emergence Delirium Among Combat Veterans With High Anxiety: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. Mil Med 2023;188:e286-94. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usab212.

7. Bedirli N, Akçabay M, Emik U. Tramadol vs dexmedetomidine for emergence agitation control in pediatric patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy with sevoflurane anesthesia: prospective randomized controlled clinical study. BMC Anesthesiol 2017;17:41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-017-0332-4.

8. Bong CL, Lim E, Allen JC, Choo WLH, Siow YN, Teo PBY, et al. A comparison of single-dose dexmedetomidine or propofol on the incidence of emergence delirium in children undergoing general anaesthesia for magnetic resonance imaging. Anaesthesia 2015;70:393-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.12867.

9. Bory E de JP, Naranjo OV, Herrero LB, Flores LGA, Fuentes MGB. Pertinence of the teaching use of virtual classroom by Basic Biomedical Science Department. Seminars in Medical Writing and Education 2023;2:31-31. https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202331.

10. Bromfalk Å, Hultin M, Myrberg T, Engström Å, Walldén J. Postoperative recovery in preschoolaged children: A secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial comparing premedication with midazolam, clonidine, and dexmedetomidine. Paediatr Anaesth 2023;33:962-72. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.14740.

11. Cánovas LPL, Cánovas LBL, Rodríguez YP, Hernández BG, Martín MMP, Montano AL. Evaluation of Burnout Syndrome and associated factors in primary care health personnel. Community and Interculturality in Dialogue 2023;3:73-73. https://doi.org/10.56294/cid202373.

12. Cantaro JCC, Tello JDLCH, Ruiz GEZ, Claudio BAM. Leadership styles and organizational climate among employees in Lima, Peru. Health Leadership and Quality of Life 2023;2:36-36. https://doi.org/10.56294/hl202336.

13. Cao J-L, Pei Y-P, Wei J-Q, Zhang Y-Y. Effects of intraoperative dexmedetomidine with intravenous anesthesia on postoperative emergence agitation/delirium in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy: A CONSORT-prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5566. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000005566.

14. Castellanos S, Figueroa C. Cognitive accessibility in health care institutions. Pilot study and instrument proposal. Data and Metadata 2023;2:22-22. https://doi.org/10.56294/dm202322.

15. Chai D-D, Ji J. [Effect of dexmedetomidine combined with sevoflurane for general anesthesia during dental treatment in pediatric patients]. Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue 2018;27:85-8.

16. Chen J-Y, Jia J-E, Liu T-J, Qin M-J, Li W-X. Comparison of the effects of dexmedetomidine, ketamine, and placebo on emergence agitation after strabismus surgery in children. Can J Anaesth 2013;60:385-92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-013-9886-x.

17. Chen Y-X, Lin J, Ye X-H, Zhao X-D, Yan Q-X. Analysis of anesthetic effect of dexmedetomidine in femoral shaft fracture surgery. Medicine (Baltimore) 2022;101:e32388. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000032388.

18. Cho E-A, Cha Y-B, Shim J-G, Ahn J-H, Lee SH, Ryu K-H. Comparison of single minimum dose administration of dexmedetomidine and midazolam for prevention of emergence delirium in children: a randomized controlled trial. J Anesth 2020;34:59-65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-019-02705-6.

19. Costi D, Cyna AM, Ahmed S, Stephens K, Strickland P, Ellwood J, et al. Effects of sevoflurane versus other general anaesthesia on emergence agitation in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014:CD007084. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007084.pub2.

20. Cruz LMSDL, Fernández CA de. Factores asociados a la violencia contra los estudiantes de enfermería del internado rotativo. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología 2023;3:464-464. https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2023464.

21. Devroe S, Devriese L, Debuck F, Fieuws S, Cools B, Gewillig M, et al. Effect of xenon and dexmedetomidine as adjuncts for general anesthesia on postoperative emergence delirium after elective cardiac catheterization in children: study protocol for a randomized, controlled, pilot trial. Trials 2020;21:310. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-4231-5.

22. Di M, Han Y, Yang Z, Liu H, Ye X, Lai H, et al. Tracheal extubation in deeply anesthetized pediatric patients after tonsillectomy: a comparison of high-concentration sevoflurane alone and low-concentration sevoflurane in combination with dexmedetomidine pre-medication. BMC Anesthesiol 2017;17:28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-017-0317-3.

23. Díaz-Chieng LY, Auza-Santiváñez JC, Castillo JIR. The future of health in the metaverse. Metaverse Basic and Applied Research 2022;1:1-1. https://doi.org/10.56294/mr20221.

24. Diseiye O, Ukubeyinje SE, Oladokun BD, Kakwagh VV. Emerging Technologies: Leveraging Digital Literacy for Self-Sufficiency Among Library Professionals. Metaverse Basic and Applied Research 2024;3:59-59. https://doi.org/10.56294/mr202459.

25. Ettaloui N, Arezki S, Gadi T. An Overview of Blockchain-Based Electronic Health Records and Compliance with GDPR and HIPAA. Data and Metadata 2023;2:166-166. https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2023166.

26. FitzSimons J, Bonanno LS, Pierce S, Badeaux J. Effectiveness of preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine, compared with oral midazolam, for the prevention of emergence delirium in the pediatric patient undergoing general anesthesia: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2017;15:1934-51. https://doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIR-2016-003096.

27. González RE. Gobernanza de enfermería en redes integradas de servicios de salud y su impacto en procesos de atención en el ámbito de equipos del primer nivel de atención. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología 2021;1:37-37. https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt202137.

28. Gonzalez-Argote D, Gonzalez-Argote J, Machuca-Contreras F. Blockchain in the health sector: a systematic literature review of success cases. Gamification and Augmented Reality 2023;1:6-6. https://doi.org/10.56294/gr20236.

29. Gonzalez-Argote J. A Bibliometric Analysis of the Studies in Modeling and Simulation: Insights from Scopus. Gamification and Augmented Reality 2023;1:5-5. https://doi.org/10.56294/gr20235.

30. Goswami M, Sangal A, Rahman B, Chawla S. Comparison of the safety and efficacy of dexmedetomidine with midazolam for the management of paediatric dental patients: A systematic review. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2021;39:233-9. https://doi.org/10.4103/jisppd_jisppd_517_20.

31. Hadi SM, Saleh AJ, Tang YZ, Daoud A, Mei X, Ouyang W. The effect of KETODEX on the incidence and severity of emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy using sevoflurane based-anesthesia. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2015;79:671-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2015.02.012.

32. Han X, Sun X, Liu X, Wang Q. Single bolus dexmedetomidine versus propofol for treatment of pediatric emergence delirium following general anesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth 2022;32:446-51. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.14381.

33. Hauber JA, Davis PJ, Bendel LP, Martyn SV, McCarthy DL, Evans M-C, et al. Dexmedetomidine as a Rapid Bolus for Treatment and Prophylactic Prevention of Emergence Agitation in Anesthetized Children. Anesth Analg 2015;121:1308-15. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000931.

34. Horta GAH, García ZG. Resultados del tratamiento de rehabilitación física en niños con retardo en el desarrollo psicomotor. Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation / Rehabilitacion Interdisciplinaria 2023;3:28-28. https://doi.org/10.56294/ri202328.

35. Horta GAH, Miranda GLH, García ZG. Calidad de vida de pacientes con enfermedad de Parkinson que reciben tratamiento rehabilitador. Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation / Rehabilitacion Interdisciplinaria 2023;3:27-27. https://doi.org/10.56294/ri202327.

36. Huang L, Wang L, Peng W, Qin C. A Comparison of Dexmedetomidine and Propofol on Emergence Delirium in Children Undergoing Cleft Palate Surgery With Sevoflurane-Based Anesthesia. J Craniofac Surg 2022;33:650-3. https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.00000000008343.

37. Isik B, Arslan M, Tunga AD, Kurtipek O. Dexmedetomidine decreases emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. Paediatr Anaesth 2006;16:748-53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9592.2006.01845.x.

38. Jangra S, Ashok V, Sethi S, Ram J. Atomised intranasal dexmedetomidine versus oral melatonin in prevention of emergence delirium in children undergoing ophthalmic surgery with sevoflurane: A randomised double-blind study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2022;39:868-74. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.000000000001727.

53 Araujo Barreto et al.

39. Jiao H, Wang H, Jiang Z, Hu J. Comparative efficacy of ancillary drugs in sevoflurane-related emergence agitation after paediatric adenotonsillectomy: A Bayesian network meta-analysis. J Clin Pharm Ther 2020;45:1039-49. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpt.13133.

40. Josephine C, Shariffuddin II, Chaw SH, Ng KWS, Ng KT. Hemodynamic Response of High- and Low-Dose Dexmedetomidine of Pediatric in General Anesthesia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Asian J Anesthesiol 2021;59:7-21. https://doi.org/10.6859/aja.202103_59(1).0002.

41. Kim J, Kim SY, Lee JH, Kang YR, Koo B-N. Low-dose dexmedetomidine reduces emergence agitation after desflurane anaesthesia in children undergoing strabismus surgery. Yonsei Med J 2014;55:508-16. https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2014.55.2.508.

42. Koceroglu I, Devrim S, Bingol Tanriverdi T, Gura Celik M. The effects of dexmedetomidine and tramadol on post-operative pain and agitation, and extubation quality in paediatric patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy surgery: A randomized trial. J Clin Pharm Ther 2020;45:340-6. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpt.13080.

43. Lascano IA, Acurio EV, López JH, Garcia DM, Jiménez EA, Sevilla VC. Asociación del nivel de estrés con el desarrollo del síndrome metabólico en el personal de salud. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología 2023;3:386-386. https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2023386.

44. Lee-Archer P, McBride C, Paterson R, Reade M, Regli-von Ungern-Sternberg B, Long D. Does dexmedetomidine given as a premedication or intraoperatively reduce post-hospitalisation behaviour change in children? A study protocol for a randomised controlled trial in a tertiary paediatric hospital. BMJ Open 2018;8:e019915. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019915.

45. Lee-Archer PF, von Ungern-Sternberg BS, Reade M, Betts M, Haenke D, Keys A, et al. The effect of dexmedetomidine on postoperative behaviour change in children: a randomised controlled trial. Anaesthesia 2020;75:1461-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15117.

46. Lei D-X, Wu C-J, Wu Z-Y, Wang L-Y, Zhao Q, She Y-J. Efficacy of different doses of intranasal dexmedetomidine in preventing emergence agitation in children with inhalational anaesthesia: A prospective randomised trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2022;39:858-67. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.00000000001743.

47. Lepez CO. Invisible challenges in healthcare leadership. Health Leadership and Quality of Life 2023;2:35-35. https://doi.org/10.56294/hl202335.

48. Li L-Q, Wang C, Xu H-Y, Lu H-L, Zhang H-Z. Effects of different doses of intranasal dexmedetomidine on preoperative sedation and postoperative agitation in pediatric with total intravenous anesthesia undergoing adenoidectomy with or without tonsillectomy. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e12140. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000012140.

49. Li S, Liu T, Xia J, Jia J, Li W. Effect of dexmedetomidine on prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in pediatric strabismus surgery: a randomized controlled study. BMC Ophthalmol 2020;20:86. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-020-01359-3.

50. Lichtensztejn M, Benavides M, Galdona C, Canova-Barrios CJ. Knowledge of students of the Faculty of Health Sciences about Music Therapy. Seminars in Medical Writing and Education 2023;2:35-35. https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202335.

51. Lin L, Yueming Z, Meisheng L, Jiexue W, Yang J. [Effect of dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation after general anesthesia in children undergoing odontotherapy in day-surgery operating room]. Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi 2017;35:613-7. https://doi.org/10.7518/hxkq.2017.06.010.

52. Lin Y, Chen Y, Huang J, Chen H, Shen W, Guo W, et al. Efficacy of premedication with intranasal dexmedetomidine on inhalational induction and postoperative emergence agitation in pediatric undergoing cataract surgery with sevoflurane. J Clin Anesth 2016;33:289-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.04.027.

53. Liu W, Sun R, Gao X, Wang S. Effects of preoperative nasal spray esketamine on separation anxiety and emergence agitation in pediatric strabismus surgery: A randomized clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2022;101:e32280. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000032280.

54. Lobato KJT, Pita DLR, Ruiz GEZ, Claudio BAM. The impact of job performance and performance on workers in northern Lima. Health Leadership and Quality of Life 2023;2:30-30. https://doi.org/10.56294/hl202330.

55. Lundblad M, Marhofer D, Eksborg S, Lönnqvist P-A. Dexmedetomidine as adjunct to ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve blocks for pediatric inguinal hernia repair: an exploratory randomized controlled trial. Paediatr Anaesth 2015;25:897-905. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.12704.

56. Makkar JK, Bhatia N, Bala I, Dwivedi D, Singh PM. A comparison of single dose dexmedetomidine with propofol for the prevention of emergence delirium after desflurane anaesthesia in children. Anaesthesia 2016;71:50-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.13230.

57. Matos-Rodríguez A, Sargenton-Savon S, Mosqueda-Lobaina Y, Chibas-Muñoz EE. Características del Síndrome Demencial en la Atención Primaria de Salud. Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation / Rehabilitacion Interdisciplinaria 2023;3:45-45. https://doi.org/10.56294/ri202345.

58. Morgner MI, Djament L. Impact of Preventive and Mandatory Social Isolation in the control of type I diabetes in adults in the Buenos Aires Metropolitan Area. Community and Interculturality in Dialogue 2023;3:82-82. https://doi.org/10.56294/cid202382.

59. Mountain BW, Smithson L, Cramolini M, Wyatt TH, Newman M. Dexmedetomidine as a pediatric anesthetic premedication to reduce anxiety and to deter emergence delirium. AANA J 2011;79:219-24.

60. Oloriz MAG, Beltrán CR, Sánchez CMC. Trends in health telematics and telemedicine services. Data and Metadata 2022;1:16-16. https://doi.org/10.56294/dm202216.

61. Orsetti M, Bertolini Y, Villaalta AF, Creo F, Santillan P, Inzaurralde N. Food safety and the approach of the Human Milk Collection Center at the Hospital Zonal General de Agudos "Prof. Dr. Ramón Carrillo". Community and Interculturality in Dialogue 2023;3:104-104. https://doi.org/10.56294/cid2023104.

62. Patel A, Davidson M, Tran MCJ, Quraishi H, Schoenberg C, Sant M, et al. Dexmedetomidine infusion for analgesia and prevention of emergence agitation in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Anesth Analg 2010;111:1004-10. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181ee82fa.

63. Petre M-A, Levin DN, Englesakis M, Maynes JT, Pechlivanoglou P, Aoyama K. Dexmedetomidine vs. total intravenous anaesthesia in paediatric emergence delirium: A network meta-analysis. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2021;38:1111-23. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.00000000001490.

64. Prieto YN, Sánchez GAR, García AP. The discipline of Medical Psychology in the ethicalhumanistic education of medical students. Seminars in Medical Writing and Education 2023;2:42-42. https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202342. 65. Qian B, Zheng W, Shi J, Chen Z, Guo Y, Yao Y. Ketamine Enhances Intranasal Dexmedetomidine-Induced Sedation in Children: A Randomized, Double-Blind Trial. Drug Des Devel Ther 2020;14:3559-65. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S269765.

66. Quintana-Honores M, Corvalán P, Gironda-Gurán J. Family integration and skin-to-skin contact with the newborn favors the recovery of the hospitalized patient: experiences of its implementation in an Obstetric Critical Care Unit. Health Leadership and Quality of Life 2023;2:33-33. https://doi.org/10.56294/hl202333.

67. Raman S, Viswanathan J, Surya J, Raman R. Single-bolus dexmedetomidine in prevention of emergence delirium in pediatric ophthalmic surgeries: A randomized controlled trial. Indian J Ophthalmol 2023;71:2199-203. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJO.IJO_2728_22.

68. Ramírez ME, Ron M, Mago G, Hernandez-Runque E, Martínez MDC, Escalona E. Proposal for an epidemiological surveillance program for the prevention of occupational accidents and diseases in workers exposed to carbon dioxide (CO2) at a Venezuelan brewing company. Data and Metadata 2023;2:55-55. https://doi.org/10.56294/dm202355.

69. Rao Y, Zeng R, Jiang X, Li J, Wang X. The Effect of Dexmedetomidine on Emergence Agitation or Delirium in Children After Anesthesia-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Studies. Front Pediatr 2020;8:329. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2020.00329.

70. Rodríguez-Martínez C, Alvarez-Solano J, Pérez-Galavís AD, Ron M. Distance education during the COVID-19 pandemic: experience at a public university. Seminars in Medical Writing and Education 2023;2:32-32. https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202332.

71. Romero-Carazas R. Prompt lawyer: a challenge in the face of the integration of artificial intelligence and law. Gamification and Augmented Reality 2023;1:7-7. https://doi.org/10.56294/gr20237.

72. Ron M, Pérez A, Hernández-Runque E. Nivel de riesgo para la salud y predicción del dolor musculo-esqueletico en trabajadores en condiciones de teletrabajo: Un enfoque matricial. Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation / Rehabilitacion Interdisciplinaria 2023;3:40-40. https://doi.org/10.56294/ri202340.

73. Sánchez CMC, León LAG, Yanes RCA, Oloriz MAG. Metaverse: the future of medicine in a virtual world. Metaverse Basic and Applied Research 2022;1:4-4. https://doi.org/10.56294/mr20224.

74. Sánchez-Ortega B, Pérez-Galavís A, Ron M. Condition, Working Environment and Health Effects on the Medical Personnel. Community and Interculturality in Dialogue 2023;3:105-105. https://doi.org/10.56294/cid2023105.

75. Santos CA, Ortigoza A, Barrios CJC. Nursing students' perceptions of Clinical Clerkship. Seminars in Medical Writing and Education 2023;2:30-30. https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202330.

76. Shah UJ, Nguyen D, Karuppiaah N, Martin J, Sehmbi H. Efficacy and safety of caudal dexmedetomidine in pediatric infra-umbilical surgery: a meta-analysis and trial-sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2021;46:422-32. https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2020-102024.

77. Shama AAA, Elsayed AA, Albraithen AA, Arafa SK. Effect of Dexmedetomidine, Dexamethasone, and Ondansetron on Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting in Children Undergoing Dental Rehabilitation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pain Physician 2023;26:1-11.

78. Shen F, Zhang Q, Xu Y, Wang X, Xia J, Chen C, et al. Effect of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine or Midazolam for Premedication on the Occurrence of Respiratory Adverse Events in Children Undergoing

Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2225473. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.25473.

79. Shi M, Miao S, Gu T, Wang D, Zhang H, Liu J. Dexmedetomidine for the prevention of emergence delirium and postoperative behavioral changes in pediatric patients with sevoflurane anesthesia: a double-blind, randomized trial. Drug Des Devel Ther 2019;13:897-905. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S196075.

80. Song I-A, Seo K-S, Oh A-Y, Baik J-S, Kim JH, Hwang J-W, et al. Dexmedetomidine Injection during Strabismus Surgery Reduces Emergence Agitation without Increasing the Oculocardiac Reflex in Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial. PLoS One 2016;11:e0162785. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162785.

81. Song J, Liu S, Fan B, Li G, Sun Q. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces emergence agitation without increasing the oculocardiac reflex in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2021;100:e25717. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000025717.

82. Strickley T, Smith K, Ericksen AM. Dexmedetomidine - An Alternative to Midazolam in the Treatment of Ketamine-Induced Emergence Delirium: A Systematic Review. J Perianesth Nurs 2023:S1089-9472(23)00920-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2023.08.003.

83. Sun Y, Liu J, Yuan X, Li Y. Effects of dexmedetomidine on emergence delirium in pediatric cardiac surgery. Minerva Pediatr 2017;69:165-73. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4946.16.04227-4.

84. Tacuri ABG, Pérez GPL. Hospitalización prevenible en enfermedades crónico degenerativas: hipertensión arterial y diabetes. Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología 2023;3:487-487. https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2023487.

85. Talon MD, Woodson LC, Sherwood ER, Aarsland A, McRae L, Benham T. Intranasal dexmedetomidine premedication is comparable with midazolam in burn children undergoing reconstructive surgery. J Burn Care Res 2009;30:599-605. https://doi.org/10.1097/BCR.0b013e3181abff90.

86. Torres A, Pérez-Galavís A, Ron M, Mendoza N. Factores Psicosociales Laborales y Estrés en el Personal Médico Asistencial. Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation / Rehabilitacion Interdisciplinaria 2023;3:42-42. https://doi.org/10.56294/ri202342.

87. Tsiotou AG, Malisiova A, Kouptsova E, Mavri M, Anagnostopoulou M, Kalliardou E. Dexmedetomidine for the reduction of emergence delirium in children undergoing tonsillectomy with propofol anesthesia: A double-blind, randomized study. Paediatr Anaesth 2018;28:632-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.13397.

88. Tumiri T, Duran L, Lin J, Ríos NB, Mosca A, Gómez T. La Imagen de enfermería y simulación. Metaverse Basic and Applied Research 2023;2:36-36. https://doi.org/10.56294/mr202336.

89. Velasco ASD, Ccama FLM, Claudio BAM, Ruiz GEZ. Transformational Leadership as a Driver of Business Success: A Case Study in Caquetá. Health Leadership and Quality of Life 2023;2:37-37. https://doi.org/10.56294/hl202337.

90. Villalobos C, Cavallera C, Espinoza M, Cid MF, Paredes I. Toward Efficiency and Accuracy: Implementation of a Semiautomated Data Capture and Processing Model for the Construction of a Hospital-based Tumor Registry in Chile. Data and Metadata 2023;2:124-124. https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2023124.

91. Wang H-Y, Chen T-Y, Li D-J, Lin P-Y, Su K-P, Chiang M-H, et al. Association of pharmacological prophylaxis with the risk of pediatric emergence delirium after sevoflurane anesthesia: An updated

network meta-analysis. J Clin Anesth 2021;75:110488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2021.110488.

92. Wang L, Huang L, Zhang T, Peng W. Comparison of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine and Oral Midazolam for Premedication in Pediatric Dental Patients under General Anesthesia: A Randomised Clinical Trial. Biomed Res Int 2020;2020:5142913. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5142913.

93. Wang W, Huang P, Gao W, Cao F, Yi M, Chen L, et al. Efficacy and Acceptability of Different Auxiliary Drugs in Pediatric Sevoflurane Anesthesia: A Network Meta-analysis of Mixed Treatment Comparisons. Sci Rep 2016;6:36553. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36553.

94. Wang X, Deng Q, Liu B, Yu X. Preventing Emergence Agitation Using Ancillary Drugs with Sevoflurane for Pediatric Anesthesia: A Network Meta-Analysis. Mol Neurobiol 2017;54:7312-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-016-0229-0.

95. Wu X-L, Peng B, Shan C-J, Liu J-J, Zhang F-C. The influence of dexmedetomidine on the emergence agitation of pediatric patients after the operations of sense organs under general anesthesia using sevoflurane. Minerva Pediatr (Torino) 2022;74:144-50. https://doi.org/10.23736/S2724-5276.17.04854-X.

96. Yao Y, Qian B, Lin Y, Wu W, Ye H, Chen Y. Intranasal dexmedetomidine premedication reduces minimum alveolar concentration of sevoflurane for laryngeal mask airway insertion and emergence delirium in children: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Paediatr Anaesth 2015;25:492-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.12574.

97. Yao Y, Sun Y, Lin J, Chen W, Lin Y, Zheng X. Intranasal dexmedetomidine versus oral midazolam premedication to prevent emergence delirium in children undergoing strabismus surgery: A randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2020;37:1143-9. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.00000000001270.

98. Zhang W. Blockchain-based solutions for clinical trial data management: a systematic review. Metaverse Basic and Applied Research 2022;1:17-17. https://doi.org/10.56294/mr202217.

FINANCING

We did not receive financing for the development of this research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

We declare that there is no conflict of interest.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Gabrielly Araujo Barreto, Javier González-Argote. Data curation: Gabrielly Araujo Barreto, Javier González-Argote. Drafting - original draft: Gabrielly Araujo Barreto, Javier González-Argote. Writing - proofreading and editing: Gabrielly Araujo Barreto, Javier González-Argote.